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## Executive Summary

## Overall

> During 2000/2001, 6.8\% of available working days were lost as a result of sickness absence. This represents an average loss of 15.1 days per staff year and was equivalent to more than 1400 full-time staff being out for an entire year. In paybill terms, sickness absence is estimated to have cost in the region of £ 20.8 million.
> At an overall level, the absence rates are slightly lower than those recorded for last year, namely 6.9\% of available working days which was equivalent to 15.3 days per staff year.
> Proportionately fewer staff were absent from work through illness during 2000/ 2001 than in 1999/2000 (64.8\% compared with 67.4\%).
> Approximately one in seven staff ( $14.3 \%$ ) lost, on a cumulative basis, more than 20 working days over the course of the year. T hese staff accounted for $77.0 \%$ of the total working days lost.
> Almost one in five staff (19.0\%) were absent from work on three or more separate occasions during the year, accounting for 42.0\% of the total working days lost.
> The largest proportion of absence spells (33.0\%) was due to Viral/ Bacterial Infectians(mainly colds \& flu), accounting for $13.2 \%$ of the working days lost. The largest proportion of the working days lost, however, (20.4\%) was accounted for by Psydriatrid Psychdogical illnesses which lasted almost 40 working days (8 weeks) on average.
> Almost 80\% of the total working days lost were covered by a medical certificate.
> M onday was the most common day for the onset of both certified and self-certified absences.

## Key Variations

> Departmental absence rates ranged from 4.4\% (9.7 days per staff year) in OFM DFM to 8.4\% (18.6 days per staff year) in DSD.
> The absence rate was highest among staff analogous to Administrative 0 fficer level who lost one in ten (10.0\%) of their available working days. T his was equivalent to 22.2 days per staff year.
> The absence rate among females was over twice that of males (9.2\% versus 4.5\%).
> W hile absent most frequently, staff in the 16-24 age category had the lowest overall absence rate (4.9\%). Staff in the 25-34 age category had the highest overall absence rate, losing 7.5\% of their available working days.

## Long-term Absence

> Some 64\% of the total working days lost during 2000/ 2001 were attributable to long-term absences . A total of 2,804 staff $(11.2 \%)$ had at least one spell of long-term absence during the year.
> Psydiatrid Psydhdogical illnesses accounted for some 28.4\% of the total working days lost as a result of long-term absences.

## 

### 1.1 Introduction

This report presents sickness absence statistics for non-industrial staff (including casuals) in the NI Departments during the 2000/ 2001 financial year. When reporting sickness absence statistics it is common to express absence rates in terms of the percentage of available working days lost and the number of days lost per person. H owever, it is recognised that the latter of these measures does not always permit valid comparisons to be made between or within organisations which have a high proportion of part-time staff and/ or high levels of staff turnover.

To address this issue the Cabinet 0 ffice recommend that such figures are expressed in terms of days lost per staff year, where a staff year equals the number of days a full-time employee is contracted to work (i.e. weekends, statutory holidays and annual leave are excluded). In keeping with this recommendation, absence rates are expressed throughout Chapter 1 in terms of the percentage of available working days lost and working days lost per staff year. For the vast majority of people, a staff year amounted to 223 working days during 2000/ 2001, but clearly depends on date of entry and/ or date of leaving, and annual leave entitlement which varies by grade, length of service, and work pattern. D efinitions of the various absence rates used throughout the report can be found in A ppendix 1.

Figure 1
Proportion of Working Days Lost by Certification


## Figure 2

Distribution of Working Days Lost


### 1.2 Overall Absence Rates

Across the eleven NI D epartments, some 6.8\% of available working days were lost among non-industrial staff as a result of sickness absence during the 2000/ 2001 financial year. This represents an average loss of 15.1 days per staff year and, in paybill terms, is estimated to have cost in the region of $£ 20.8$ million. At an overall level the figures are slightly lower than those recorded for the previous financial year, namely, $6.9 \%$ of available working days (15.3 days per staff year).

To put the results in perspective, the total working days lost due to sickness absence during 2000/ 2001 was equivalent to more than 1400 full-time staff being out for an entire year.

As highlighted in Figure 1, almost four fifths (79.5\%) of the working days lost were covered by a medical certificate, giving rise to a certified absence rate of $5.4 \%$ ( 12.0 days per staff year). Shorter term absences covered by self-certification accounted for just over one fifth (20.5\%) of the working days that were lost, resulting in a self-certified absence rate of $1.4 \%$ (3.1 days per staff year).

### 1.3 Distribution of Working Days Lost

Compared with the previous financial year, proportionately fewer staff were absent from work through illness during 2000/ 2001 ( $64.8 \%$ in 2000/ 2001 versus 67.4\% in 1999/ 2000).

An analysis of the cumulative number of working days lost during 2000/ 2001 revealed that approximately one in seven staff ( $14.3 \%$ ) lost more than 20 working days over the course of the year, accounting for $77.0 \%$ of the total working days lost. By way of contrast, only $6.8 \%$ of the total working days lost during 2000/ 2001 were attributable to the $30.7 \%$ of staff who lost between one and five working days.

C learly for some staff the above analysis relates to the working days lost over more than one spell of absence. A detailed analysis of long-term absences (i.e. those which lasted for more than 20 working days) is presented in Chapter 5. Absences in this category accounted for $64 \%$ of the working days lost.

### 1.4 Department

Departmental absence rates ranged from 8.4\% in DSD to 4.4\% in O FM DFM during 2000/ 2001. As shown in Table 1 of Appendix 2, the absence rate in DSD was equivalent to a loss of 18.6 days per staff year, whereas the rate in O FM DFM amounted to 9.7 days per staff year.

It is evident from the information presented throughout this report that levels of absenteeism vary considerably by grade, gender and age. As such, the staffing structure of a Department will have a major bearing on its overall absence rate. The extent to which these factors can affect the overall absence rate is illustrated through standardised rates presented in Appendix 3.

### 1.5 Grade Level

The absence rate was highest among staff analogous to Administrative 0 fficer level, who lost one in ten ( $10.0 \%$ ) of their available working days as a result of sickness absence during the financial year. As shown in Table 2 of A ppendix 2, this was equivalent to an average loss of 22.2 days per staff year.

At EOII level and above, the absence rate decreased at each successive grade up to G rade 7 level, where one in every forty ( $2.5 \%$ ) available working days was lost. H owever, as highlighted in Figure 4 and T able 2 of A ppendix 2 , staff at Grade 5 and above had the lowest overall absence rate, losing $2.2 \%$ of their available working days ( 4.7 days per staff year on average).

## Figure 3

Departmental Absence Rates


## N ote:

1. Staff from the Parliamentary Commissioner for Complaints and the Planning Appeals C ommission are included in the DFP figure.
2. Staff from HSENI and OFREG are included in the DETI figure.

Absence Rates by Grade Level


## Figure 5

## Absence Rates by Gender



## Figure 6

## Absence Rates by Age Group



### 1.6 Gender

D uring 2000/2001, the absence rate among females was over twice that of males $(9.2 \%$ versus $4.5 \%)$.

As highlighted in T able 3 of Chapter 3, almost 18\% of the working days lost among females were attributable to Pregnancy R elated/ Postnatal absences. When these absences were removed from the calculations the absence rate among females, while reducing to $7.7 \%$, remained markedly higher than that of males.

T able 3 of A ppendix 2 highlights that the absence rate among females was equivalent to a loss of 20.5 days per staff year on average. This compares with a figure of 9.9 days for males. When Pregnancy Related/ Postnatal absences were excluded, the figure for females reduced to 17.1 days per staff year.

### 1.7 Age Group

There was noticeable variation in the overall absence rates of staff in the various age groups, ranging from a low of 4.9\% among those aged 16-24 to a high of 7.5\% among those aged 25-34.

At 2.1\%, the self-certified absence rate, which decreased with age, was highest among those aged 16-24. Certified absence rates on the other hand ranged from a low of 2.8\% among staff in this age category to a high of $6.3 \%$ among those aged 55 and over.

##  <br> 

## 

### 2.1 Introduction

In this chapter we turn our attention to the number of recorded spells of sickness absence for staff in the NI Departments during the 2000/ 2001 financial year. For comparison purposes, absence rates are expressed throughout in terms of the number of spells of absence per staff year. Supporting information which shows the average duration of both self-certified and certified absences can be found in Tables 5 to 7 of Appendix 2.

### 2.2 Number of Absence Spells

As shown in Figure 7, just over 35\% of staff had no recorded spells of sickness absence during 2000/ 2001. R oughly one in four staff (26.8\%) had one recorded absence, whereas approximately one in five (19.0\%) were absent on two separate occasions during the year. The remainder of staff ( $19.0 \%$ ) were absent from work through illness on three or more occasions.
O verall, non-industrial staff in the NI Departments had an average of 1.6 spells of sickness absence per staff year during 2000/ 2001.

### 2.3 Duration of Absence Spells

$\qquad$
Duration of Absence Spells


Figure 9


## Figure 10

Proportion of Absence Spells by Certification


## Figure 11

Absence Spells by Grade Level


Figure 12

## Absence Spells by Gender



### 2.4 Self-Certified/ Certified Spells

A pproximately three out of every four (75.4\%) spells of sickness absence were self-certified. The remainder (24.6\%) were covered by a medical certificate. By comparing these results with the information presented on the duration of absence spells, the reader will notice that some of the absence spells which lasted five working days or less were certified. On average, self-certified absences lasted 2.5 working days whereas certified absences lasted almost 30 working days.

### 2.5 Grade Level

As shown in Figure 11, the average number of spells per staff year decreased with each successive grade up to Grade 5 level and above. Clearly staff at AA and AO levels were absent most frequently during 2000 / 2001, with an average of 2.2 and 2.1 spells of sickness absence per staff year respectively. On average, both self-certified and certified absences among AO s lasted longer than those among AAs, which goes some way to explaining the noticeable difference in their overall absence rates (AO s lost 10.0\% of their available working days whereas AAs lost 7.0\%).

At each grade level self-certified absences lasted, on average, between 2 and 3 working days. H owever, the average duration of certified absences ranged from a low of 27 working days at EOI level, to a high of just over 36 working days at G rade 6 level (See T able 5 in Appendix 2).

### 2.6 Gender

Females were absent more frequently than males during 2000/ 2001 (2.0 spells per staff year on average, compared with 1.3 for males). The exclusion of Pregnancy R elated/ Postnatal absences, which were experienced by $6.9 \%$ (891) of the females included in the analysis and were typically long-term in nature, had little effect on the average number of spells per staff year among females.

In staff year terms, approximately six out of every ten females and three out of every ten males had a certified spell of absence during 2000/ 2001. 0 n average, these spells lasted for approximately 31 and 27 working days respectively (See T able 6 of A ppendix 2).

### 2.7 Age Group

In general, the average number of spells of sickness absence decreased with age, with staff in the 16-24 age group being absent most frequently (2.4 spells per staff year). H owever, as already highlighted in Figure 6 of Chapter 1, staff in this group had the lowest overall absence rate, losing 4.9\% of their available working days.

As shown in Table 7 of Appendix 2, the average duration of certified absences was lowest among those aged 16-24 (approximately 18 working days), and highest among those aged 55 and over (approximately 34 working days).

Absence Spells by Age Group


## : * * T W <br> 

## 

## Figure 14

Overall Reasons for Absence


Table 1

## Average Duration of Absences by Reason

| Reason for Absence | Average Duration <br> (Working Days) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Psychiatric/ Psychological | 39.6 |
| Pregnancy Related/ Postnatal | 28.3 |
| Blood \& Cardiovascular | 20.3 |
| Injury/ Accident/ Assault | 13.6 |
| Musculoskeletal | 12.7 |
| Medical Tests \& Observation | 12.3 |
| Non-specific/ Other | 7.8 |
| Respiratory | 6.0 |
| Nervous System, Eyes, Ears | 4.8 |
| Digestive, Endocrine, Renal | 4.2 |
| Viral/ Bacterial Infections | 3.7 |

### 3.1 Overall

D uring the 2000/ 2001 financial year, almost one third (33.0\%) of absence spells were due to Viral/ Baterial Infeetions (mainly colds \& flu). W hile the majority of these spells were short-term in nature, on a cumulative basis they accounted for $13.2 \%$ of the working days that were lost throughout the year. A further $8.0 \%$ of the working days lost were associated with Digestive, Endorine\& Rend illnesses which are also typically short-term in nature. Absences in this category accounted for the second largest proportion of absence spells ( $17.7 \%$ ).

The largest proportion of the working days lost however, were accounted for by Psycriatrid Psychdogical illnesses which tend to be more long-term in nature. A bsences in this category, which includes Depression, Anxiety, Stress and Life M anagement Difficulties, accounted for one fifth (20.4\%) of the working days lost over the course of the year. Meeical Test\& Obsevationaccounted for the second largest proportion of the working days lost ( $16.9 \%$ ).

Pregancy Reated Postnatal illnesses accounted for $4.0 \%$ of absence spells and $12.3 \%$ of the working days lost.

T able 1 provides information on the average duration of absence spells by illness category. It shows that the average duration varied from just under 4 working days for absences due to Viral/ Baderial Irfetionsto almost 40 working days (8 weeks) for absences resulting from Psycriatrid Psychdogical illnesses

## Table 2

Reasons for Absence by Grade Level

| \% of Working Days Lost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason for Absence | G5+ | G6 | G7 | DP | SO | EOI | EOII | AO | AA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-specific/ Other | 1.3 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical Tests \& Observation | 10.5 | 46.6 | 22.3 | 17.4 | 21.5 | 24.7 | 14.2 | 15.6 | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Injury/ Accident/ Assault | 7.9 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 12.9 | 7.9 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 8.7 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Viral/ Bacterial Infections | 15.8 | 11.5 | 17.5 | 19.7 | 16.4 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 11.5 | 15.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychiatric/ Psychological | 44.3 | 16.6 | 19.8 | 15.9 | 15.0 | 19.2 | 20.7 | 22.4 | 18.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnancy Related/ Postnatal | 4.0 | 2.9 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 14.2 | 14.9 | 10.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nervous System, Eyes, Ears | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Digestive, Endocrine, Renal | 1.9 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 11.8 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respiratory | 1.9 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blood \& Cardiovascular | 10.3 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Musculoskeletal | 2.1 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 7.7 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.2 Grade Level

T able 2 shows, for each grade level, the percentage of the total working days lost attributable to each reason for absence. Shading has been used in the table to highlight the illness category which accounted for the largest proportion of the working days lost at each grade level.

The information shows that Psychiatrid Psychdogical illnesses accounted for the largest proportion of the working days lost among staff at G 5 level \& above (44.3\%), EO II level ( $20.7 \%$ ), AO level ( $22.4 \%$ ) and AA level (18.7\%).

Meical Tests \& Observationaccounted for the largest proportion of the working days lost at both G 6 and $G 7$ level ( $46.6 \%$ and $22.3 \%$ respectively), and also at SO and EOI level ( $21.5 \%$ and $24.7 \%$ respectively).

At DP level, the largest proportion of the working days lost (almost one fifth - 19.7\%) was attributable to Viral/ Baderial Infeetions

### 3.3 Gender

Table 3 shows that Psydriatrid Psychdogical illnesses accounted for one fifth ( $20.5 \%$ ) of the total working days lost among males. Medcal Test\& Observationaccounted for a further fifth (19.7\%), and Viral/ Baterial Infetiansfor 17.0\%.

## Among females, Psychiatrid Psychdogical illnesses also

 accounted for one fifth (20.4\%) of the total working days lost. Preenancy Reateed Postnatal illnesses accounted for the second largest proportion of the working days lost among females ( $17.8 \%$ ), and Meeical Tess\& Observationthe third (15.5\%).
### 3.4 Age Group

As one might expect, the reasons for absence varied considerably with age. For example, short-term absences arising from Vira/ Bateial Iffetionsaccounted for the largest proportion of the working days lost among staff aged 16-24 (25.4\%). H owever, among those in the older age groups (i.e. 45-54 and 55+), longer term absences due to Meical Tests\& Observetionand Psychiatid Psychdogical illnesses were more common, each accounting for approximately one fifth of the working days lost. At 23.6\%, Psychiarid Psydhdogicd illneses accounted for the largest proportion of the working days lost among those aged 35-44. Among those aged 25-34, PreemancyReateed Posnatal illnesses accounted for the largest proportion of the working days lost ( $21.4 \%$ ), closely followed by Psychiatrid Psychdogical illnesses (18.3\%).

### 3.5 Certification

Viral/ Baterial I Ifeetions(mainly colds and flu) accounted for two fifths ( $40.8 \%$ ) of the working days lost through self-certified absences. A further one in every six of the working days lost ( $16.1 \%$ ) through self-certified absences were associated with Digstive Endorine\& Reral illnesses and approximately one in every seven ( $14.1 \%$ ) with Merical Tests\& Obsevation

Psychatrid Psychdogical illnesses accounted for the largest proportion of the working days lost through certified absences ( $25.3 \%$ ), followed by Meical Tests \& Obsevation (17.6\%). A further $15.0 \%$ of the working days lost through certified absences were due to Preenancy Reated Postnata illnesses

Reasons for Absence by Age Group

|  | \% of Working Days Lost |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason for Absence | $\mathbf{1 6 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 +}$ |
| Non-specific/ Other | 6.7 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| Medical Tests \& Observation | 11.9 | 13.0 | 16.5 | 22.3 | 22.4 |
| Injury/ Accident/ Assault | 8.4 | 10.1 | 8.8 | 9.7 | 11.6 |
| Viral/ Bacterial Infections | 25.4 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 10.1 |
| Psychiatric/ Psychological | 10.5 | 18.3 | 23.6 | 20.7 | 21.1 |
| Pregnancy Related/ Postnatal | 10.9 | 21.4 | 12.8 | 3.6 | 1.3 |
| Nervous System, Eyes, Ears | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.4 |
| Digestive, Endocrine, Renal | 14.0 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 6.9 |
| Respiratory | 5.5 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 4.5 |
| Blood \& Cardiovascular | 0.9 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 7.3 |
| Musculoskeletal | 3.1 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 7.8 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |


| Table 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reasons for Absence by Certification |  |
|  | \% of Working Days Lost |  |
|  | Self-Certified | Certified |
| Reason for Absence | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Non-specific/ Other | 14.1 | 17.6 |
| Medical Tests \& Observation | 5.7 | 10.6 |
| Injury/ Accident/ Assault | 40.8 | 6.0 |
| Viral/ Bacterial Infections | 1.8 | 25.3 |
| Psychiatric/ Psychological | 1.8 | 15.0 |
| Pregnancy Related/ Postnatal | 4.5 | 2.3 |
| Nervous System, Eyes, Ears | 16.1 | 5.8 |
| Digestive, Endocrine, Renal | 6.1 | 2.9 |
| Respiratory | 1.1 | 4.0 |
| Blood \& Cardiovascular | 3.6 | 6.1 |
| Musculoskeletal | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Total |  |  |

##  

## 

In this chapter we turn our attention to the onset of absences, with the analyses in paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 referring to those spells which started during the 2000/ 2001 financial year.

### 4.1 Analysis by Month during 2000/2001

Figure 15
Onset of Absence by Month during 2000/2001


Onset of Absence by Weekday during 2000/2001


### 4.3 Number of Staff Absent during 2000/2001

Figure 17 provides an illustration of the daily variation in the number of staff absent throughout the year. The underlying figures reveal that the number of staff who were absent ranged from a low of 1,094 on Friday 14th July 2000 (i.e. immediately following the 12th and 13th of July statutory holidays), to a high of 1,629 on Friday 5th J anuary 2001. As with last year, the fluctuation in the total number of absentees throughout the year can, in large part, be accounted for by the variation in the number of self-certified absences. The number of certified absences was more predictable, averaging 1090 on a daily basis.

## Figure 17

Number of Staff Absent on Each Day during 2000/2001


## $: * *$ 䌦 

## 

In this chapter we turn our attention to long-term absences which, by definition, are those which lasted more than 20 working days.

### 5.1 Prevalence of Long-term Absence

A total of 2,804 staff (11.2\%) in the NI Departments had one or more spells of long-term absence during the 2000/ 2001 financial year, resulting in a loss of almost 205,000 available working days. This was equivalent to losing the labour of more than 900 full-time staff for the entire year and, as already highlighted in Chapter 2, accounted for 64\% of the total working days lost throughout the year. The cost to the paybill of these long-term absences is estimated to be in the region of $£ 12.7 \mathrm{~m}$.

### 5.2 Reason for Absence

Psychiatrid Psychdogical illnesses accounted for some 28.4\% of the total working days lost during 2000/ 2001 as a result of long-term absences. Meeical Test \& Observationaccounted for a further $18.3 \%$ and Preenancy Reted Postnatal illnessfor $16.0 \%$. A pproximately one in every ten (10.4\%) of the working days lost through long-term absences was recorded under the Injury/ Accidat// Assault category.

Figure 19
Reason for Long-term Absences (\% of Working Days Lost)


## Figure 20

Long-term Spells by Grade Level


Figure 21
Long-term Spells by Gender


### 5.3 Grade Level

Figure 20 shows that, as with last year, the incidence of long-term absence was highest among staff at AO level. As highlighted in T able 1 of A ppendix 4, over two thirds (67.6\%) of the total working days lost at this level were attributable to those staff ( $17.5 \%$ ) who had one or more spells of long-term absence during the period in question. 0 n average, long-term absences among staff at AO level lasted 12.8 working weeks. The incidence was also high at EOII level with $14.0 \%$ of staff having one or more spells of long-term absence. A gain, two thirds (66.6\%) of the total working days lost at this level can be accounted for by such absences, which lasted 12.6 working weeks on average.

### 5.4 Gender

A higher proportion of females (15.2\%) than males (7.0\%) had one or more spells of long-term absence. When all long-term Pregnancy R elated/ Postnatal absences were excluded from the calculations, the proportion of females who had one or more spells of long-term absence reduced to 11.8\% (See Figure 21).

The average durations of long-term absence among males and females were very similar (12.6 and 12.7 working weeks respectively). T hat said, a noticeably higher proportion of the total working days lost among females was attributable to long-term absence (67.5\% among females versus 56.9\% among males) - see T able 2 of Appendix 4.

### 5.5 Age Group

Long-term Absence Spells by Age Group
Figure 22 shows that the incidence of long-term absence was lowest in the 16-24 age group, with $4.6 \%$ of staff having one or more spells of long-term absence during 2000/2001. On average, these absences lasted just over 9 working weeks and accounted for just under $36 \%$ of the total working days lost among staff in this age category.
Staff in the 25-34 age group were most likely to be absent on a long-term basis, with $13.0 \%$ having one or more spells of long-term absence. By way of contrast, almost $61 \%$ of the total working days lost among staff in this age category were attributable to long-term absences, which lasted almost 12 working weeks on average.

O ver $73 \%$ of total working days lost among those aged 55 and over were attributable to long-term absences. Approximately one in eight staff ( $12.1 \%$ ) in this group had one or more spells of long-term absence during the year, lasting almost 14 weeks on average (See T able 3 of A ppendix 4).

The reader should note that Appendix 4 also provides information on the number of long-term absence spells per 100 staff years for each of the analyses contained in this chapter. This is in keeping with C abinet Office guidelines and, in essence, gives a measure of the number of long-term absences which might be expected to occur among a group of 100 staff working full-time for the entire year.

##  <br> 

## Appendix 1

## Definitions

A bsence rates are presented in a number of ways throughout the report and are defined as follows:-
\% of Available Working Days Lost $=\frac{\text { Number of W orking Days Lost }}{\text { Number of Available W orking Days }} \times 100$

Working Days Lost per Staff Year $=\quad$ Number of W orking Days Lost
Number of Staff Y ears

Spells per Staff Year $=\quad$ Number of Absence Spells
Number of Staff Y ears

The "W orking days lost per staff year" approach was recommended by the C abinet Office in the review "WarkngWel Togther: ManagingAttendanceinthePudic Seta". This approach replaces working days lost per person which can understate the absence rate in organisations which have a high proportion of part-time staff and/ or high levels of staff turnover. The following simple example highlights the rationale for the methodological change.

There are 2 members of staff $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$.
A. W orked Full-time all year (hence 1 staff year), and
B. W orked Full-time for $1 / 2$ year (hence $1 / 2$ staff year)

If $\mathbf{A}$ was absent for 10 working days and $\mathbf{B}$ was absent for 20 working days, then the number of working days lost per staff year would be calculated as follows:-

T otal N umber of working days lost $=30$
T otal N umber of Staff Y ears $=1+0.5=1.5$
Working days lost per staff year $=\frac{30}{1.5}=\mathbf{2 0}$
According to the former methodology, the number of days lost per person would equal,
T otal N umber of working days lost $=30$
Total Number of People $=2$
Working days lost per person $=\frac{30}{2}=\mathbf{1 5}$

##  

Tables relating to Chapter 1
Table 1

| Department | No. of Working Days Lost per Staff Year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Self-Certified | Certified | Total |
|  | 3.1 | 15.5 | 18.6 |
| D H FETE | 4.4 | 13.6 | 17.9 |
| DE | 3.3 | 12.4 | 15.7 |
| DCAL | 4.1 | 10.6 | 14.7 |
| D O E | 3.3 | 11.3 | 14.6 |
| DH SSPS | 3.3 | 9.6 | 12.9 |
| DFP | 3.0 | 9.8 | 12.8 |
| DETI | 3.4 | 9.0 | 12.4 |
| DRD | 2.8 | 9.4 | 12.2 |
| DAR D | 2.5 | 9.7 | 12.2 |
| OFM DFM | 2.6 | $\mathbf{7 . 1}$ | 9.7 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ |

## Table 2

| Grade Level | No. of Working Days Lost per Staff Year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Self-Certified | Certified | Total |
| G 5+ | 0.8 | 4.0 | 4.7 |
| G6 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 6.7 |
| G 7 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 5.5 |
| D P | 1.7 | 5.9 | 7.6 |
| S0 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 8.9 |
| EO I | 2.8 | 8.8 | 11.6 |
| EO II | 3.1 | 13.3 | 16.3 |
| A O | 3.8 | 18.4 | 22.2 |
| AA | 4.1 | 11.5 | 15.6 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ |

Table 3

| Gender | No. of Working Days Lost per Staff Year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Self-Certified | Certified | Total |
|  | 2.7 | 7.2 | 9.9 |
| Female | 3.5 | 16.9 | 20.5 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ |

Table 4

|  | No. of Working Days Lost per Staff Year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Self-Certified | Certified | Total |
| $16-24$ | 4.7 | 6.2 | 10.9 |
| $25-34$ | 3.7 | 13.0 | 16.7 |
| $35-44$ | 2.9 | 12.9 | 15.7 |
| $45-54$ | 2.4 | 11.3 | 13.7 |
| $55+$ | 2.2 | 13.8 | 16.0 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ |

## Tables relating to Chapter 2

Table 5

|  | Self-Certified Absences | Certified Absences |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Spells <br> per Staff Year | Average <br> Duration <br> (Working Days) | No. of Spells <br> per Staff Year | Average <br> Duration <br> (Working Days) | No. of Spells <br> per Staff Year | Average <br> Duration <br> (Working Days) |
| G5+ | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 28.9 | 0.5 | 10.4 |
| G6 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 36.2 | 0.6 | 10.7 |
| G7 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 28.0 | 0.7 | 7.6 |
| DP | 0.7 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 28.1 | 1.0 | 8.0 |
| SO | 0.9 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 27.2 | 1.2 | 7.6 |
| EOI | 1.1 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 27.0 | 1.4 | 8.4 |
| EOII | 1.2 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 31.8 | 1.6 | 10.2 |
| AO | 1.5 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 31.3 | 2.1 | 10.8 |
| AA | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 27.1 | 2.2 | 7.1 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ |

Table 6

|  | Self-Certified Absences | Certified Absences |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Spells <br> per Staff Year | Average <br> (Wuration <br> (Working Days) | No. of Spells <br> per Staff Year | Average <br> (Wuration <br> (Working Days) | No. of Spells <br> per Staff Year | Average <br> Duration <br> (Working Days) |
| M ale | 1.1 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 27.4 | 1.3 | 7.6 |
| Female | 1.4 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 30.9 | 2.0 | 10.4 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ |

Table 7

|  | Self-Certified Absences |  | Certified Absences |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Spells <br> per Staff Year | Average <br> Duration <br> (WorkingDays) | No. of Spells <br> per Staff Year | Average <br> Doration <br> (Working Days) | No. of Spells <br> per Staff Year | Average <br> Duration <br> (WorkingDays) |
| $16-24$ | 2.1 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 18.2 | 2.5 | 4.5 |
| $25-34$ | 1.5 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 27.8 | 1.9 | 8.7 |
| $35-44$ | 1.1 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 31.3 | 1.6 | 10.2 |
| $45-54$ | 0.9 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 32.5 | 1.3 | 10.7 |
| 55 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 34.3 | 1.3 | 12.8 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ |

Example 1: Departmental Absence Rates: Standardised to DSD Organisation Structure


Example 2: Departmental Absence Rates: Standardised to DARD Organisation Structure


Example 3: Departmental Absence Rates: Standardised to DFP Organisation Structure


##  

Tables relating to Chapter 5
Table 1

|  | Longterm Absences |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | No. of Spells <br> per 100 Staff <br> Years | Average <br> Duration <br> (Working Weeks) | Percentage of the <br> total working days <br> lost attributableto <br> longterm absence |
| G5+ | 4.7 | 12.6 | 63.0 |
| G6 | 7.7 | 12.2 | 69.6 |
| G 7 | 5.4 | 12.2 | 60.3 |
| DP | 7.7 | 11.9 | 60.5 |
| S0 | 8.2 | 12.4 | 57.6 |
| EOI | 11.3 | 12.2 | 59.8 |
| EOII | 17.3 | 12.6 | 66.6 |
| AO | 23.4 | 12.8 | 67.6 |
| AA | 13.9 | 13.0 | 57.9 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 0}$ |

Table 2

|  | Longrterm Absences |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | No. of Spells <br> per 100 Staff <br> Years | Average <br> Duration <br> (Working Weeks) | Percentage of the <br> total working days <br> lost attributable to <br> longterm absence |
| M ale | 8.9 | 12.6 | 56.9 |
| Female | 21.8 | 12.7 | 67.5 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 0}$ |

Table 3

|  | Long term Absences |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | No. of Spells <br> per 100 Staff <br> Years | Average <br> Duration <br> (WorkingWeeks) | Percentage of the <br> total working days <br> lost attributable to <br> longterm absence |
| $16-24$ | 8.3 | 9.3 | 35.7 |
| $25-34$ | 17.4 | 11.7 | 60.9 |
| $35-44$ | 16.5 | 12.8 | 67.2 |
| $45-54$ | 13.1 | 14.1 | 67.8 |
| $55+$ | 16.9 | 13.8 | 73.3 |
| Overall | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 0}$ |

##  

## Year on Year Comparisons

Table 1

| Department | \% of Available Working Days Lost |  | No. of Days Lost per Staff Year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
|  | 8.3 | $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | 18.5 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6}$ |
| DH FETE | 7.4 | $\mathbf{8 . 1}$ | 16.5 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9}$ |
| DE | 6.2 | $\mathbf{7 . 1}$ | 13.7 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7}$ |
| DCAL | 5.8 | $\mathbf{6 . 7}$ | 12.7 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7}$ |
| D O E | 6.6 | 6.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| DH SSPS | 6.4 | 5.8 | 14.1 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ |
| DFP | 5.1 | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ | 11.3 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8}$ |
| DETI | 5.9 | 5.6 | 13.2 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4}$ |
| DRD | 5.2 | $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ | 11.4 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ |
| DAR D | 6.2 | 5.5 | 13.8 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ |
| OFM DFM | 4.7 | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | 10.4 | $\mathbf{9 . 7}$ |
| Overall | 6.9 | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | 15.3 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ |

Note: Due to the reorganisation of Departments following devolution, the 1999/2000 absence details were analysed according to the Department in which staff were employed at the end of the 1999/ 2000 financial year.
As such the year on year comparisons are not entirely comparable.
Table 2

|  | \% of Available Working Days Lost |  | No. of Days Lost per Staff Year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 2 0 0 1}$ |
| G5+ | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.8 | $\mathbf{4 . 7}$ |
| G6 | 2.4 | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | 5.3 | $\mathbf{6 . 7}$ |
| G7 | 2.8 | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 6.1 | 5.5 |
| DP | 3.9 | 3.5 | 8.6 | $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ |
| S0 | 4.6 | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ | 10.0 | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ |
| EOI | 5.2 | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | 11.6 | 11.6 |
| EO II | 7.1 | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ | 15.8 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 3}$ |
| AO | 10.1 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | 22.5 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 2}$ |
| AA | 7.1 | $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ | 15.9 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 6}$ |
| Overall | 6.9 | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | 15.3 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ |

Table 3

| Gender | \% of Available Working Days Lost |  | No. of Days Lost per Staff Year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / \mathbf { 2 0 0 0 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / \mathbf { 2 0 0 1 }}$ |  |
|  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| Female | 9.4 | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ | 21.0 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ |
| Overall | 6.9 | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | 15.3 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ |

Table 4

|  | \% of Available Working Days Lost |  |  | No. of Days Lost per Staff Year |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| $16-24$ | 4.5 | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ | 10.1 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ |  |
| $25-34$ | 7.6 | $\mathbf{7 . 5}$ | 17.0 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7}$ |  |
| $35-44$ | 7.1 | 7.1 | 15.9 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7}$ |  |
| $45-54$ | 6.3 | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ | 13.9 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7}$ |  |
| $55+$ | 7.4 | $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ | 16.2 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 0}$ |  |
| Overall | 6.9 | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | 15.3 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ |  |

N ote: Red text denotes an increase in the absence rate from the previous financial year.
Green text denotes a reduction in the absence rate from the previous financial year.

## Year on Year Comparisons

Table 5

| Number of Absence <br> Spells | \% of Staff |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| 1 | 32.6 | 35.2 |
| 2 | 27.0 | 26.8 |
| 3 | 19.2 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0}$ |
| 4 | 11.3 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2}$ |
| 5 | 5.3 | 5.0 |
| $6+$ | 2.4 | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ |

Table 6

|  | \% of Spells |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Duration of Absence <br> Spells |  |  |
| (Working Days) | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ 2000 |  |
| $1-2$ | 47.1 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| $3-5$ | 31.4 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 6}$ |
| $6-10$ | 7.0 | 31.7 |
| $11-20$ | 5.8 | 6.7 |
| $M$ ore than 20 | 8.8 | 5.7 |

Table 7

|  | \% of Absence Spells |  | \% of Working Days Lost |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9} \mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} / \mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| Non-specific/ O ther | 5.2 | $\mathbf{5 . 3}$ | 4.8 | $\mathbf{4 . 5}$ |
| M edical T ests \& O bservation | 8.9 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6}$ | 12.2 | $\mathbf{1 6 . 9}$ |
| Injury/ Accident/ Assault | 6.4 | $\mathbf{6 . 5}$ | 10.0 | $\mathbf{9 . 6}$ |
| Viral/ Bacterial Infections | 38.3 | $\mathbf{3 3 . 0}$ | 16.0 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2}$ |
| Psychiatric/ Psychological | 4.7 | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ | 19.6 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 4}$ |
| Pregnancy R elated/ Postnatal | 4.2 | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ | 14.1 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3}$ |
| Nervous System, Eyes, Ears | 5.4 | 5.2 | 3.2 | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ |
| Digestive, Endocrine, Renal | 16.0 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ | 6.9 | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ |
| Respiratory | 4.9 | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ | 3.3 | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |
| Blood \& Cardiovascular | 1.6 | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | 3.7 | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ |
| M usculoskeletal | 4.3 | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ | 6.2 | $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ |

N ote: Red text denotes an increase from the previous financial year.
Green text denotes a reduction from the previous financial year.

## Year on Year Comparisons

## Table 8

|  | \% of the Total Working Days Lost <br> Long-term <br> Attributable to Longterm Absence |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Level |  |  |
| G 5+ | 1999/2000 | 2000/ $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| G6 | 69.7 | 63.0 |
| G7 | 51.6 | 69.6 |
| DP | 57.3 | 60.3 |
| SO | 62.1 | 60.5 |
| EO I | 59.5 | 57.6 |
| EO II | 56.2 | 59.8 |
| AO | 62.6 | 66.6 |
| AA | 66.6 | $\mathbf{6 7 . 6}$ |
| Overall | 55.2 | 57.9 |

Table 9

|  | \% of the Total Working Days Lost <br> Attributable to Longterm Absence |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term |  |  |
| Absence by Gender | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 2 0 0 1}$ |
| M ale | 53.4 | 56.9 |
| Female | 66.3 | 67.5 |
| Overall | 62.0 | 64.0 |

Table 10

| Long-term <br> Absence by Age <br> Group | \% of the Total Working Days Lost <br> Attributable to Long term Absence |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 / 2 0 0 0}$ |  |
|  | 30.2 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 / 2 0 0 1}$ |
| $35-44$ | 59.7 | $\mathbf{3 5 . 7}$ |
| $45-54$ | 64.5 | 60.9 |
| $55+$ | 66.1 | $\mathbf{6 7 . 2}$ |
| Overall | 69.0 | $\mathbf{6 7 . 8}$ |

N ote: Red text denotes an increase from the previous financial year.
Green text denotes a reduction from the previous financial year.
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