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## ABOUT THIS REPORT

## Departments/Agencies

The following abbreviations for Departments/Agencies are used in this Report:

| DARD | Department of Agriculture and Rural Development |
| :--- | :--- |
| DCAL | Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure |
| DE | Department of Education |
| DEL | Department of Employment and Learning |
| DETI | Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment |
| DFP | Department of Finance and Personnel |
| DHSSPS | Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety, |
| DOE | Department of the Environment |
| DRD | Department for Regional Development |
| DSD | Department for Social Development |
| OFMDFM | Office of the First Minister and Deputy First Minister |
| NIA | Northern Ireland Assembly |
| NIO | Northern Ireland Office |
| PSNI | Police Service of Northern Ireland. |
| CSA | Child Support Agency |
| SSA | Social Security Agency |

The statistical information in the tables in this Report includes the following Northern Ireland Civil Servants only:

- those who work in the eleven Northern Ireland Departments; and
- those seconded from the NICS to the:

Northern Ireland Office,
Police Service of Northern Ireland, and Northern Ireland Assembly.

The Social Security Agency (SSA) and the Child Support Agency (CSA) are executive agencies of the Department for Social Development (DSD) and account for 94\% of DSD staff. Where statistical information on staff by Department is provided in this Report, it shows CSA, SSA and DSD (Core).

This Report does not include:
(i) Direct recruits of the following:

- Northern Ireland Assembly;
- Police Service of Northern Ireland;
(ii) Home Civil Servants working in the Northern Ireland Office; and
(iii) Prison Grades in the Northern Ireland Prison Service.


## Community Background

It has been decided to follow the terminology adopted in the equality legislation and use the term "community background" which is classified as follows:

P Persons from a Protestant community background
RC Persons from a Roman Catholic community background
ND Persons whose community background could not be determined

## Occupational Groups

Some statistical information in this Report has been classified into occupational groups. Examples of grades within these groups are as follows:

| Occupational Group | $\underline{\text { Grade }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. General Service | Administrative Officer, Executive Officer 1, <br> Deputy Principal |
| 2. Secretarial | Typist, Personal Secretary |
| 3. Scientific | Scientific Officer, Fisheries Officer, Fuel <br> Technologist, Microbiologist |
| 4. Technology | Graduate Trainee Quantity Surveyor, <br> Electrical Engineer, Architect, Trainee Civil <br> Engineering Assistant, Tracer |
| 5. Legal | Legal Assistant, Law Clerk |
| 6. Computing | Programmer, Programmer Analyst, Systems <br> Analyst |
| 7. Specialisms | Graduate Trainee Valuer, Inspector of <br>  <br> Departmental Grades) <br> Vehicle Inspector, Veterinary Officer |
| 8. Centralised Services | Messenger, Security Guard, Telephonist, <br> Laboratory Attendant |
| 9. Industrial | Road Workers, Industrial Technicians, <br> Porters, Farm Workers, Labourers, Fish |
| Farm Assistants |  |

## Non-Industrial Grades

For the purposes of analysis, staff in the Non-Industrial grades (ie staff in the Occupational Groups listed 1-8 above) have been grouped into the salary bandings of the General Service grades, in descending order of seniority. The NIO has a separate pay band structure and, to incorporate NIO in tabular analyses, NIO pay bands have been equated to General Service grades as indicated below.

NICS General Service Grades
Assistant Secretary (Grade 5+)
Senior Principal (Grade 6)
Principal (Grade 7)
Deputy Principal (DP)
Staff Officer (SO)
Executive Officer 1 (EO1)
Executive Officer 2 (EO2)
Administrative Officer (AO)
Administrative Assistant (AA)

## NIO Band Equivalent

Assistant Secretary (Grade 5+)

## A

A
B1
B2
C
C
D1
D2

## Please note that:

Rounding: Due to rounding the percentages quoted in the tables may not total to 100\%.

Expected Outcome: Tables in this Report, referring to recruitment and promotion competitions often compare the actual outcome to the expected outcome. Expected outcomes are based on the broad assumption that each equal opportunity group is similar in merit and consequently reflects the outcome which would be expected if males and females enjoyed the same success rate, and Protestants and Roman Catholics enjoyed the same success rates.
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## INTRODUCTION

1.1 This is the eighth in a series of regular reports issued by the Northern Ireland Civil Service (NICS) Equal Opportunities Unit (EOU) located in the Department of Finance and Personnel.
1.2 It provides a range of information on the compositional profile of the NICS workforce at 1 January 2002, the dynamics of the organisation in terms of recruitment and promotion (during the period 1 January 1999-31 December 2001) and describes developments and initiatives taken to further promote equality of opportunity.
1.3 The report aims to provide evidence and reassurance for all sections of the workforce and external interests that NICS employment policies, practices and procedures continue to have a definite focus on providing and promoting equality of opportunity. The Northern Ireland Civil Service is committed to providing equality of opportunity. It is our policy that all eligible persons shall have equal opportunity for employment and advancement in the NICS on the basis of their ability, qualifications and aptitude for the work. Everyone has a right to equality of opportunity and to a good and harmonious working environment and atmosphere in which all workers are encouraged to apply their diverse talents and in which no worker feels under threat or intimidation. This right is protected in many instances by legislation.
1.4 In accordance with this policy the NICS aims to foster a culture that promotes inclusion through equality of opportunity, valuing and managing diversity and promoting fairness in our values, employment practices and procedures. In addition, the NICS actively seeks to comply fully with current legislation and with the various Codes of Practice of the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland. The NICS continues to consult with the Equality Commission on employment issues.
1.5 The NICS is committed to a service that promotes inclusion through equality of opportunity, valuing and managing diversity and promoting fairness in its values, employment practices and procedures. Within this theme, the key corporate aims are:

- A Civil Service in which all sections of the community have confidence and trust;
- A Civil Service which seeks and values a wide range of perspectives;
- A Civil Service which seeks and encourages talent from all sources and utilises those talents effectively to serve the Executive and the whole community to the highest possible level;
- A Civil Service in which all staff through their behaviour, support and encourage equal opportunities and diversity to ensure that there are no obstacles to the inclusion of any group.
1.6 Section 75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998, which came into operation on 1 January 2001, brought important new responsibilities to public authorities in
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Northern Ireland. Under this legislation, all Departments must have due regard to the need to promote equality of opportunity between certain specified groups and also have regard to the desirability of promoting good relations between persons of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group. The NICS currently monitors its staff in terms of sex, community background, disability and ethnic origin. Consequently the emphasis in this Report is on these aspects. In taking forward equality issues in the NICS we are in discussions with the Equality Commission and other interests on the monitoring of staff in respect of the full range of Section 75 groups.
1.7 Each NICS Department has produced an Equality Scheme setting out how it proposes to fulfil the duties imposed by Section 75. An Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA) on Developing Policy on the Location of Civil Service jobs has been undertaken. A copy of the EQIA is available on the Internet at www.nics.gov.uk/eqia/eqia.pdf.
1.8 Work has commenced on the EQIAs on Developing Policy on Recruitment to the NICS and on Developing Policy on Promotion in the NICS.
1.9 The information in this report complements the Review of Fair Participation in the Northern Ireland Civil Service under Article 55 of the Fair Employment and Treatment (NI) Order 1998, which includes information on goals and timetables. The report of the Review is available on the Internet at www.nics.gov.uk/article55/article55.pdf.

## Composition of the NICS

2.1 This section provides an overview of the compositional profile of the 29,480 people in the NICS workforce at January 2002. The table below summarises the position in relation to a range of key equal opportunities perspectives ${ }^{1}$.

Table 1: Composition of the NICS at January 2002

| Staff/Group | All Staff <br> No | Sex |  | Community Background |  |  | *Declared Disabled Row \% | *Ethnic Minority Row \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F Row \% | M Row \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { P } \\ \text { Row \% } \end{gathered}$ | RC <br> Row \% | ND Row \% |  |  |
| Non-Industrial | 26519 | 54.4 | 45.6 | 53.9 | 43.2 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 0.1 |
| Industrial | 2961 | 2.5 | 97.5 | 55.2 | 36.1 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 0 |
| All | 29480 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 54.0 | 42.5 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 0.1 |

2.2 Viewed at the overall level the NICS compositional profile indicates a high degree of alignment with estimates of the economically active population in relation to sex and community background. However, there remains room for continued improvement in relation to the Senior Civil Service and the level of representation of people with a disability and those from an ethnic minority background.

## Profile of the NiCS by Broad Occupational Groupings

2.3 There are a wide variety of jobs within the NICS. The main occupational groupings differ in size and in their compositional profile. The General Service group accounts for $61 \%$ of all staff and $68 \%$ of the Non-Industrial group of staff. The table below sets out the profiles by broad occupational groupings from a range of key equal opportunities perspectives.

[^0]
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Table 2: Compositional Profile of the NICS by Broad Occupational Groupings

| Staff/Group | All Staff <br> No | Sex |  | Community Background |  |  | *Declared Disabled Row \% | *Ethnic Minority <br> Row \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F <br> Row \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { M } \\ \text { Row \% } \end{gathered}$ | Row \% | RC <br> Row \% |  |  |  |
| General Service | 17994 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 49.8 | 48.3 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 0.1 |
| Secretarial | 1265 | 99.8 | 0.2 | 66.7 | 31.7 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| Scientific | 809 | 35.1 | 64.9 | 63.7 | 26.7 | 9.6 | 4.6 | 0.7 |
| Technology | 2055 | 8.2 | 91.8 | 64.1 | 32.0 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| Legal | 207 | 51.7 | 48.3 | 56.5 | 40.6 | 2.9 | 7.3 | 0.5 |
| Computing | 564 | 25.4 | 74.6 | 56.6 | 42.0 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 0.2 |
| Dept. Specialisms | 2726 | 22.5 | 77.5 | 58.4 | 34.4 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 0.2 |
| Centralised Services | 949 | 45.7 | 54.3 | 68.0 | 25.6 | 6.4 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| Industrial | 2961 | 2.5 | 97.5 | 55.2 | 36.1 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 29480 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 54.0 | 42.5 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 0.1 |

2.4 Taking account of different educational attainment, individual interests and career choice, the differences in the sex and religious composition of occupational groups are to be expected. This degree of diversity is anticipated in the Equality Commission's Fair Employment Code of Practice, which notes that it would be unrealistic to expect Protestants and Roman Catholics to be proportionately represented at each grade level in every occupation. Clearly the same rationale applies to men and women. Notwithstanding, the NICS is committed to the development of a diverse but representative workforce and to working towards an appropriate balance throughout occupational groups.

## Non-Industrial Service by Grade Level

2.5 Consideration of the Non-Industrial Service (which comprises almost 90\% of all NICS staff) by grade level shows that, at the majority of grade levels the profile by sex and community background is increasingly representative compared with estimates of the economically active population. (Appendix 2). Representation of women and Roman Catholics continues to improve at the more senior grade levels as their levels of representation at the more junior levels continue to be drawn up through the organisation.
2.6 There has been continued improvement at the most senior grades in the levels of representation of women where, at Grade 5 level and above, the representation in the Non-Industrial Service has increased from 10.5\% in 1999 to over 16\%. Over the same period Roman Catholic representation has increased from 23.1\% to 27.6\%. (Tables 5.3 and 5.6 in Appendix 5).
2.7 The most marked levels of under-representation continue to be in relation to women and Roman Catholics at the most senior grade levels and men and Protestants at the entry-level grades at AA and AO level.
2.8 The NICS remains fully committed to addressing under-representation at all levels of the organisation through appropriate lawful affirmative action programmes developed in consultation with Trade Unions, the Equality Commission and other interests. NICS recruitment advertising carries a statement that the NICS is an equal opportunities employer and 'welcomes' applications from all suitably qualified applicants irrespective of religious
belief, sex, disability, race, political opinion, age, marital status, sexual orientation or whether or not they have dependants. Where there is evidence of an under-representation, in terms of sex or community background, amongst past applicants or at the level being recruited to, a particular welcome is given to applications from those in the under-represented group. For example, in all open recruitment competitions at Grade 5 level and above, recruitment advertising particularly welcomes applications from women and Roman Catholics. Similarly, in recruiting at AA and AO applications are particularly welcomed from men and Protestants. (Section 3 covers action taken to promote equality of opportunity including affirmative action measures).

## Changes in the Compositional Profile and Trends

2.9 Significant changes are evident in the gender and community background profile of the Service compared to the position in 1987, when the whole NICS was monitored for the first time. Looking at the overall NICS, male representation has decreased from $59.8 \%$ in 1987 to $50.8 \%$ in 2002 with female representation increasing from $40.2 \%$ to $49.2 \%$. In the same period Protestant representation has decreased from $55.6 \%$ to $54 \%$ while Roman Catholic representation has increased from $33.4 \%$ to $42.5 \%$ with the proportions whose community background was not determined decreasing from $11 \%$ to $3.5 \%$. Further tables showing trends are included in Appendix 5.

## PROMOTING EQUALITY OF OPPORTUNITY

## NICS Human Resource Strategy

3.1 The NICS operates on the basis of corporate i.e. NICS-wide Human Resource (HR) policies and practices which extend to the full range of HR management of NICS employees. HR policy and practice is not static. It is constantly evolving to respond to developing best practice, including equality of opportunity, to meet changes in the business environment, employment legislation, the labour market etc. The evolution of HR policy and practice has always been informed by consultation and negotiation with staff representatives through their recognised Trade Unions, and by formal and informal consultation with representative bodies and other employers.

## Recruitment and Promotion

3.2 It is the policy of the NICS that all eligible persons shall have equal opportunity of employment and advancement in the NICS on the basis of their ability, qualifications and aptitude. All selection within the NICS is undertaken in accordance with the Codes of Practice of the Equality Commission and the Service's Equal Opportunities policy statement.
3.3 Between 1 January 1999 and 31 January 2001, 525 Non-Industrial promotion competitions and 159 Non- Industrial recruitment competitions were closed ie no more candidates were promoted or recruited as a result of these competitions. Each of these individual competitions was rigorously scrutinised to determine whether statistically significant sex and/or community background imbalances had occurred at any of the stages in the selection process.
3.4 The statistical analysis showed that in 503 (95.8\%) of the promotion competitions, no sex or community background imbalances were identified. Statistically significant imbalances in terms of sex were found in 16 competitions and in terms of community background, 5 competitions. One further competition showed both sex and community background imbalances.
3.5 In $93 \%$ of the recruitment competitions (148 out of 159), no sex or community background imbalances were identified. Significant imbalances in terms of sex were found in 5 competitions. Three competitions showed significant imbalances in terms of community background and three further competitions displayed imbalances in terms of both sex and community background.
3.6 It should, however, be borne in mind that a statistically indicated disparity in success rates in a competition is not necessarily indicative of flaws in the procedures or conduct of the competition. Indeed, in any system based on merit, there will inevitably be disparities in success rates of individual competitions.
3.7 Work has commenced on the Equality Impact Assessments on Recruitment to the NICS and on developing Policy on Promotion in the NICS.

## Internal and External Attitude Surveys

3.8 During September 2002 two surveys were carried out to test external and internal perceptions of the NICS. The information gleaned from these surveys
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will be used to inform HR policy in general and, in particular, the NICS approach to diversity/inclusion. It will enable the NICS to build on the information gathered during the 1995 survey on organisational culture and also address the commitment to follow up on the major harassment and bullying survey carried out in 1998. The analysis of the outcomes of the surveys should be available by June 2003.

## Harassment and Bullying

3.9 A survey to gauge the extent of harassment and bullying in the NICS and NIO was carried out in 1998 and the results were published in July 2000. The survey showed that the majority of respondents knew where to get assistance and were aware of harassment and bullying policies and procedures. The levels of sexual and sectarian harassment experienced by respondents at any time in their career was $10.6 \%$ and $9.1 \%$ respectively and were below those reported for Northern Ireland as a whole. Respondents reported experiencing varying levels of on-going harassment - sexual harassment ( $0.6 \%$ ), sectarian harassment ( $1.1 \%$ ) and bullying (4.4\%). It should be noted that the survey was based on staff perceptions of harassment and not on formal complaints.
3.10 In response to the survey findings senior management drew up an Action Plan setting out the measures to be taken to further reduce incidence of harassment and bullying and to promote a more harmonious working environment. As part of this, a seminar was held for Senior Civil Servants in March 2001, at which various aspects of the issue were examined.
3.11 In July 2001 the Minister provided the NI Executive with an update on the Action Plan showing the steps that departments have taken, and are planning, to tackle this problem. These included:

- reviewing and amending policies and procedures;
- revising training courses and the introduction of courses targeted at specific groups of staff;
- training additional harassment contact and investigation officers;
- creating a register of investigation officers;
- wider dissemination of information on policies and procedures through staff magazines, posters etc.; and
- providing employee assistance programmes


## Work/Life Balance

3.12 The NICS published a booklet in 2001 entitled: 'Work Life Balance in the NICS", which for the first time drew together all the various policies and underpinning terms and conditions of service which can help staff achieve the right balance between their work commitments and life outside the office including caring for dependent relatives, studying for qualifications, participating in sport etc.

## Review of Dependant Care Provisions in the NiCS

3.13 During 2002 an inter-departmental Working Group was set up to review the measures of assistance available to NICS staff with dependants with a view to ensuring that resources are targeted appropriately, effectively and equitably to staff in greatest need.
3.14 The Working Group recommended that three aspects warranted more detailed consideration - special leave issues, guidance on elder-care and a review of the current NICS Holiday Playschemes. Three Implementation Teams have been appointed to take this work forward.

## Holiday Playschemes

3.15 NICS Holiday Playschemes were established in 1991 to provide accommodation and supervision for school age children of civil servants during all or part of the summer school holidays. The NICS contributes towards funding and staff who volunteer to run the playschemes may be facilitated with time off. There are now seven playschemes held in the following locations across the province: Ballymena, Bangor, North Belfast, South Belfast, Stormont, Newry and Omagh.

## Senior Civil Service Review

3.16 An independent review of the appointment and promotion procedures for the Senior Civil Service was commissioned by the Executive Committee in late 2000. A review team under the chairmanship of Lord Herman Ouseley former Chairman of the Commission for Racial Equality - was appointed and completed their work during 2002. The terms of reference were wide ranging and included consideration of ways of ensuring that the NICS is fully representative of the community which it serves. The report of the Review is available at www.nics.gov.uk/scsreview.
3.17 The Review Team found that progress had been made in tackling underrepresentation in respect of both females and Roman Catholics, and that further progress could be expected on the basis of recent trends. The Review Team's recommendations were aimed mainly at improving the nature and quality of the NICS programme of out-reach to under-represented groups, including ethnic minorities and people with a disability.
3.18 A public consultation exercise was undertaken to seek views on an action plan on those recommendations where work is underway. Ministers are considering the findings of this exercise.

## Summary of Affirmative Actions

3.19 The following affirmative actions have been taken in an attempt to secure fair participation in employment in the NICS:

- Where there is evidence of under-representation in terms of sex or community background, NICS recruitment advertisements include a statement particularly welcoming applications from the under-represented group;
- All non-industrial permanent recruitment vacancies are advertised, as a minimum, in Northern Ireland's three local daily newspapers so that all sections of the community have an opportunity to be informed of such vacancies;
- Outreach measures to encourage people from under-represented groups to apply for NICS job vacancies including regular contact with representatives
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from Section 75 groups and use of role models in recruitment. Details of specific actions in relation to the employment of people with disabilities and those from ethnic minority backgrounds are set out in Sections 4 and 5 respectively;

- Contact with careers teachers to ascertain reasons for lower than expected application rates for NICS posts from certain groups;
- Work experience placements in the NICS for young people from all sections of the community.


## Employment of People with Disabilities

## Composition of the NICS by Disability

4.1 In 1999 the NICS carried out a survey (in conjunction with one on ethnic background) of all staff to determine the number of people with a disability and the particular nature of their condition based on categories defined in the Disability Discrimination Act 1999. Staff were given the opportunity to participate in the survey on a voluntary basis and in total 22,549 people responded. Information on staff who joined the NICS after the survey is collected during the recruitment process. In addition, Departments regularly update information held on staff with a disability.
4.2 The information indicates that of those staff who responded, 1,152 or 5.1\% declared that they had a disability. In view of the fact that it is possible that not all staff with a disability chose to declare they had a disability, the information reported here can only be considered to be an indication of the position.
4.3 Of the 1,152 staff who declared that they had a disability 683 (59.2\%) were male and 469 ( $40.8 \%$ ) were female. In terms of community background, 621 (53.9\%) of those who declared they had a disability were Protestant and 478 (41.5\%) were Roman Catholic. The tables in Appendix 8 provide more information.

## Code of Practice

4.4 The NICS has a Code of Practice on the Employment of People with Disabilities which provides a framework to help Departments and Agencies to achieve equality of opportunity for people with disabilities. It provides practical guidance on how managers can ensure that the NICS benefits from the skills and abilities that people with disabilities possess through career development, training, opportunities for flexible working and the provision, where necessary, of support, specialised equipment and adjustments to premises, equipment or working arrangements. An inclusive Guidance Manual for managers and staff will be launched during 2003, the European Year of Disabled People.

## Employment Support Programme

4.5 Since 1989 the NICS has participated as a host organisation in the Employment Support (ES) Programme, which operates in the public and private sectors and gives individuals with severe disabilities the opportunity to work within their own performance capacity. There are currently around 80 ES workers in the NICS, some of whom are existing civil servants who joined the Scheme as an alternative to retirement on ill-health grounds.

## Recruitment of People with Disabilities

4.6 The NICS welcomes applications from people with disability who, with reasonable adjustment, can meet the job related requirements. It is fully prepared to make reasonable adjustment, appropriate to the individual, as part of the recruitment process. It also:

- works with schools and organisations for disabled people to increase their awareness of the job opportunities available within the NICS and their potential suitability for candidates with disability
- waives the requirement for academic qualifications for applicants with a disability where eligibility can be determined through an aptitude/practical test
- makes appropriate individual reasonable adjustment for candidates with disability in conducting any aptitude/ practical test
- ensures that all recruitment advertising makes it clear that the Service welcomes applications from people with a disability
- invites all applicants to identify any special requirements they may have for interview or test, for example, access to building, interpreters for people with severe speech or hearing difficulties, written instruction for deaf candidates, the provision of large print question papers, additional time etc.
- ensures that selection board members have had appropriate training.


## Employment of People from Ethnic Minority BACKGROUNDS

## Composition of the NICS by Ethnic Background

5.1 In response to the Race Relations Order (NI) and Section 75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998, the NICS conducted a survey (in conjunction with one on disability) in 1999 to determine information about employees' ethnic background. Information on those who joined the NICS since 1999 is collected during the recruitment process. Our data indicates that $0.1 \%$ of NICS staff are from an minority ethnic background (Tables 9.1 and 9.2 at Appendix 9). While exact figures are not known, it is estimated that ethnic minority communities comprise between $1 \%$ and $1.5 \%$ of the NI population, although not all of these would be economically active. It would appear therefore that ethnic representation in the NICS is lower than would be expected.

## Outreach Measures

5.2 The NICS has therefore embarked on a programme of outreach measures in an attempt to increase representation of people from ethnic minority backgrounds. Initiatives include the use of role models in Recruitment / Careers Fairs and press articles, and the use of inclusive images in publicity material etc. The Equality Commission and representative groups (including the Northern Ireland Council for Ethnic Minorities, Multi Cultural Resource Centre, Chinese Welfare Association and Indian Community Centre) have been consulted on ways in which the NICS might attract more members of the minority ethnic communities. Other measures currently under consideration include an Open Day for members of the ethnic minority community and attendance at festivals/events organised by representative ethnic minority groups.
5.3 The Recruitment Service web site now welcomes visitors in several languages and inclusive posters are used in publicity material.

## SECTION 6

## Training

6.1 The NICS recognises that training has an important role to play in the development of staff competence and potential and in this regard everyone is given access to relevant training. All members of staff are encouraged to discuss their training needs with their line managers and to agree Personal Development Plans, which outline the type of training and target dates for achievement. Details of training courses are readily available through widely published departmental and NICS training prospectuses.

## Equal Opportunities Training

6.2 Equal Opportunities training in the NICS is also provided. This can be either personal development training for both men and women or training designed specifically for women.
6.3 In terms of selection, all panel members are trained in criterion-based interviewing and equal opportunity policies which aims to enable them to select the best candidate while complying with NICS policies, procedures and guidelines on Equal Opportunities. In addition, panel members for internal promotion competitions are provided with a detailed Assessor Manual which sets out the assessment procedure. Panel members are trained in the assessment procedure and it is normal practice to hold a pre-board meeting to further reinforce the need for a systematic and wholly objective approach to the process of selection. Candidates appearing at internal promotion boards are given guidance on the promotion process, including the application of the NICS equal opportunities policy.
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## Appendix 1

## NICS - OVERALL COMPOSITION

Table 1.1(a): Composition of the NICS (Non-Industrial and Industrial) staff

|  | Staff |  | Sex |  |  |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female |  | Male |  | P |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% |
| Non-Industrial Staff | 26519 | 90.0 | 14434 | 54.4 | 12085 | 45.6 | 14294 | 53.9 | 11447 | 43.2 | 778 | 2.9 |
| Industrial Staff | 2961 | 10.0 | 73 | 2.5 | 2888 | 97.5 | 1634 | 55.2 | 1070 | 36.1 | 257 | 8.7 |
| TOTAL NICS STAFF | 29480 | 100.0 | 14507 | 49.2 | 14973 | 50.8 | 15928 | 54.0 | 12517 | 42.5 | 1035 | 3.5 |

Table 1.1(b): Composition of the NICS (Non-Industrial and Industrial) staff

|  | Staff |  | *Declared <br> Disability |  | *Ethnic <br> Minority |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% |
| Non-Industrial Staff | 26519 | 90.0 | 1034 | 4.9 | 32 | 0.1 |
| Industrial Staff | 2961 | 10.0 | 18 | 8.7 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL NICS STAFF | 29480 | 100.0 | 1152 | 5.1 | 32 | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |

* of those staff who responded

Table 1.2: Compositional Profile of NICS by Department

| Department/Agency |  | Total staff <br> No | Sex |  | Community Background |  |  | *Declared Disabled\% | *Ethnic Minority\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | M | P | RC | ND |  |  |
|  |  | Row \% | Row \% | Row \% | Row \% | Row \% |  |  |
|  | DARD |  | 3085 | 40.7 | 59.3 | 52.9 | 41.4 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 0.3 |
|  | DCAL |  | 360 | 33.6 | 66.4 | 62.6 | 34.5 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 0.0 |
|  | DE | 583 | 58.1 | 41.9 | 63.5 | 33.6 | 2.9 | 6.0 | 0.2 |
|  | DETI | 1206 | 48.0 | 52.0 | 55.5 | 41.7 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 0.2 |
|  | DFP | 2642 | 47.7 | 52.3 | 58.3 | 39.4 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 0.2 |
|  | OFMDFM | 352 | 54.4 | 45.5 | 66.5 | 29.3 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 0.3 |
|  | DEL | 1454 | 64.6 | 35.4 | 42.1 | 56.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 0.0 |
|  | DHSSPS | 931 | 48.1 | 51.9 | 58.0 | 37.9 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 0.2 |
|  | DOE | 1631 | 50.4 | 49.6 | 54.6 | 41.1 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 0.2 |
|  | DRD | 2918 | 35.3 | 64.7 | 55.4 | 41.6 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 0.1 |
|  | DSD (Core) | 474 | 54.6 | 45.4 | 45.1 | 52.5 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 |
|  | SSA | 5798 | 65.6 | 34.4 | 39.8 | 58.5 | 1.7 | 5.9 | 0.0 |
|  | CSA | 1606 | 61.2 | 38.8 | 47.6 | 50.4 | 2.1 | 4.9 | 0.2 |
|  | Seconded Staff | 3479 | 69.0 | 31.0 | 76.4 | 20.3 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 0.1 |
|  | SUB-TOTAL | 26519 | 54.4 | 45.6 | 53.9 | 43.2 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 0.1 |
|  | DARD | 621 | 8.4 | 91.6 | 49.9 | 43.3 | 6.8 | 8.8 | 0.0 |
|  | DRD | 1981 | 0.3 | 99.7 | 53.3 | 37.6 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 0.0 |
|  | Others | 359 | 4.5 | 95.5 | 74.9 | 15.9 | 9.2 | 6.3 | 0.0 |
|  | SUB-TOTAL | 2961 | 2.5 | 97.5 | 55.3 | 36.3 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL |  | 29480 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 54.0 | 42.5 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 0.1 |

*of those staff who responded

Table 1.3: NICS (Non-Industrial and Industrial) staff by Department/Agency, Grade Level and Sex.

| Grade Level | Sex | Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sub Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DARD |  | DCAL |  | DE |  | DETI |  | DFP |  | OFMDFM |  | DEL |  | DHSSPS |  | DOE |  |  |
|  |  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No |
| Grade 5 + | F | 2 | 8.7 | 1 | 16.7 | 4 | 26.7 | 2 | 7.4 | 4 | 11.8 | 7 | 29.2 | 2 | 20.0 | 11 | 31.4 | 2 | 12.5 | 35 |
|  | M | 21 | 91.3 | 5 | 83.3 | 11 | 73.3 | 25 | 92.6 | 30 | 88.2 | 17 | 70.8 | 8 | 80.0 | 24 | 68.6 | 14 | 87.5 | 155 |
| Grade 6/7 | F | 68 | 23.9 | 6 | 31.6 | 23 | 30.7 | 16 | 14.2 | 44 | 24.6 | 13 | 29.5 | 7 | 23.3 | 31 | 29.0 | 10 | 12.8 | 218 |
|  | M | 216 | 76.1 | 13 | 68.4 | 52 | 69.3 | 97 | 85.8 | 135 | 75.4 | 31 | 70.5 | 23 | 76.7 | 76 | 71.0 | 68 | 87.2 | 711 |
| DP | F | 135 | 29.3 | 11 | 32.4 | 22 | 42.3 | 45 | 29.2 | 88 | 25.1 | 22 | 37.3 | 27 | 28.4 | 37 | 27.4 | 53 | 30.5 | 440 |
|  | M | 326 | 70.7 | 23 | 67.6 | 30 | 57.7 | 109 | 70.8 | 263 | 74.9 | 37 | 62.7 | 68 | 71.6 | 98 | 72.6 | 121 | 69.5 | 1075 |
| So | F | 79 | 26.2 | 16 | 38.1 | 21 | 42.9 | 67 | 39.4 | 161 | 37.9 | 25 | 50.0 | 73 | 44.0 | 49 | 34.3 | 101 | 38.7 | 592 |
|  | M | 223 | 73.8 | 26 | 61.9 | 28 | 57.1 | 103 | 60.6 | 264 | 62.1 | 25 | 50.0 | 93 | 56.0 | 94 | 65.7 | 160 | 61.3 | 1016 |
| E01/EO2 | F | 350 | 30.4 | 29 | 17.5 | 94 | 61.4 | 185 | 55.2 | 263 | 43.5 | 35 | 61.4 | 402 | 73.0 | 129 | 51.6 | 162 | 34.0 | 1649 |
|  | M | 801 | 69.6 | 137 | 82.5 | 59 | 38.6 | 150 | 44.8 | 342 | 56.5 | 22 | 38.6 | 149 | 27.0 | 121 | 48.4 | 314 | 66.0 | 2095 |
| AO | F | 327 | 77.3 | 40 | 72.7 | 129 | 73.7 | 188 | 69.6 | 542 | 70.6 | 72 | 82.8 | 339 | 74.7 | 132 | 82.0 | 376 | 83.0 | 2145 |
|  | M | 96 | 22.7 | 15 | 27.3 | 46 | 26.3 | 82 | 30.4 | 226 | 29.4 | 15 | 17.2 | 115 | 25.3 | 29 | 18.0 | 77 | 17.0 | 701 |
| AA | F | 296 | 67.1 | 18 | 47.4 | 46 | 71.9 | 76 | 55.5 | 157 | 56.1 | 18 | 58.1 | 90 | 60.8 | 59 | 59.0 | 118 | 68.2 | 878 |
|  | M | 145 | 32.9 | 20 | 52.6 | 18 | 28.1 | 61 | 44.5 | 123 | 43.9 | 13 | 41.9 | 58 | 39.2 | 41 | 41.0 | 55 | 31.8 | 534 |
| Non-Industrial sub total | F | 1257 | 40.7 | 121 | 33.6 | 339 | 58.1 | 579 | 48.0 | 1259 | 47.7 | 192 | 54.5 | 940 | 64.6 | 448 | 48.1 | 822 | 50.4 | 5957 |
|  | M | 1828 | 59.3 | 239 | 66.4 | 244 | 41.9 | 627 | 52.0 | 1383 | 52.3 | 160 | 45.5 | 514 | 35.4 | 483 | 51.9 | 809 | 49.6 | 6287 |
| Industrial Supervisory | F | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | M | 90 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 92 |
| Industrial Craft | F | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  | M | 41 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 40 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Industrial NonCraft | F | 52 | 10.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 16.2 | 63 |
|  | M | 438 | 89.4 | 15 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 24 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 57 | 83.8 | 539 |
| Industrial sub total | F | 52 | 8.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 10.2 | 63 |
|  | M | 569 | 91.6 | 17 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 39 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 97 | 89.8 | 727 |
| TOTAL | F | 1309 | 35.3 | 121 | 32.1 | 339 | 58.0 | 579 | 47.9 | 1259 | 47.0 | 192 | 54.5 | 940 | 64.6 | 448 | 48.1 | 833 | 47.9 | 6020 |
|  | M | 2397 | 64.7 | 256 | 67.9 | 245 | 42.0 | 630 | 52.1 | 1422 | 53.0 | 160 | 45.5 | 515 | 35.4 | 483 | 51.9 | 906 | 52.1 | 7014 |

Table 1.3 (cont'd): NICS (Non Industrial and Industrial) staff by Department/Agency, Grade Level and Sex.

| Grade Level | Sex | B/F | Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DRD |  | DSD Core |  | SSA |  | CSA |  | Total Staff in NI Departments |  | NI Assembly |  | NIO |  | PSNI |  | Total staff Seconded to other Depts |  | Total NICS Staff |  |
|  |  |  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| Grade 5 + | F | 35 | 2 | 8.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 37 | 16.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 17.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 14.8 | 41 | 16.1 |
|  | M | 155 | 21 | 91.3 | 9 | 100.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 1 | 100. | 191 | 83.8 | 2 | 100.0 | 19 | 82.6 | 2 | 100.0 | 23 | 85.2 | 214 | 83.9 |
| Grade 6/7 | F | 218 | 16 | 12.0 | 6 | 20.0 | 5 | 17.2 | 2 | 28.6 | 247 | 21.9 | 7 | 21.2 | 49 | 38.3 | 3 | 37.5 | 59 | 35.0 | 306 | 23.6 |
|  | M | 711 | 117 | 88.0 | 24 | 80.0 | 24 | 82.8 | 5 | 71.4 | 881 | 78.1 | 26 | 78.8 | 79 | 61.7 | 5 | 62.5 | 110 | 65.0 | 991 | 76.4 |
| DP | F | 440 | 32 | 11.1 | 18 | 36.0 | 43 | 48.9 | 5 | 29.4 | 538 | 27.5 | 4 | 28.6 | 52 | 37.1 | 4 | 13.3 | 60 | 32.6 | 598 | 27.9 |
|  | M | 1075 | 255 | 88.9 | 32 | 64.0 | 45 | 51.1 | 12 | 70.6 | 1419 | 72.5 | 10 | 71.4 | 88 | 62.9 | 26 | 86.7 | 124 | 67.4 | 1543 | 72.1 |
| SO | F | 592 | 74 | 15.5 | 27 | 39.7 | 125 | 54.8 | 22 | 55.0 | 840 | 34.7 | 8 | 30.8 | 84 | 45.7 | 15 | 25.9 | 107 | 40.0 | 947 | 35.2 |
|  | M | 1016 | 403 | 84.5 | 41 | 60.3 | 103 | 45.2 | 18 | 45.0 | 1581 | 65.3 | 18 | 69.2 | 100 | 54.3 | 43 | 74.1 | 161 | 60.0 | 1742 | 64.8 |
| E01/EO2 | F | 1649 | 251 | 23.2 | 79 | 59.4 | 1222 | 64.6 | 234 | 64.8 | 3435 | 47.6 | 29 | 67.4 | 275 | 68.2 | 215 | 58.7 | 519 | 64.0 | 3954 | 49.3 |
|  | M | 2095 | 831 | 76.8 | 54 | 40.6 | 671 | 35.4 | 127 | 35.2 | 3778 | 52.4 | 14 | 32.6 | 128 | 31.8 | 151 | 41.3 | 293 | 36.0 | 4071 | 50.7 |
| AO | F | 2145 | 497 | 77.8 | 93 | 75.6 | 1826 | 70.2 | 676 | 61.4 | 5237 | 71.6 | 28 | 68.3 | 252 | 77.8 | 925 | 87.4 | 1205 | 84.7 | 6442 | 73.7 |
|  | M | 701 | 142 | 22.2 | 30 | 24.4 | 776 | 29.8 | 425 | 38.6 | 2074 | 28.4 | 13 | 31.7 | 72 | 22.2 | 133 | 12.6 | 218 | 15.3 | 2292 | 26.3 |
| AA | F | 878 | 159 | 57.4 | 36 | 59.0 | 581 | 61.0 | 44 | 55.7 | 1698 | 61.0 | 9 | 56.3 | 136 | 59.6 | 303 | 86.1 | 448 | 75.2 | 2146 | 63.5 |
|  | M | 534 | 118 | 42.6 | 25 | 41.0 | 372 | 39.0 | 35 | 44.3 | 1084 | 39.0 | 7 | 43.8 | 92 | 40.4 | 49 | 13.9 | 148 | 24.8 | 1232 | 36.5 |
| Non Industrial sub total | F | 5957 | 1031 | 35.3 | 259 | 54.6 | 3802 | 65.6 | 983 | 61.2 | 12032 | 52.2 | 85 | 48.6 | 852 | 59.6 | 1465 | 78.2 | 2402 | 69.0 | 14434 | 54.4 |
|  | M | 6287 | 1887 | 64.7 | 215 | 45.4 | 1996 | 34.4 | 623 | 38.8 | 11008 | 47.8 | 90 | 51.4 | 578 | 40.4 | 409 | 21.8 | 1077 | 31.0 | 12085 | 45.6 |
| Industrial Supervisory | F | 0 | 2 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.4 |
|  | M | 92 | 380 | 99.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 472 | 99.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 26 | 100.0 | 26 | 100 | 498 | 99.6 |
| Industrial Craft | F | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
|  | M | 96 | 210 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 306 | 100 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 72 | 100.0 | 72 | 100 | 378 | 100.0 |
| Industrial Non-Craft | F | 63 | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 66 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 42.9 | 2 | 2.4 | 5 | 5.4 | 71 | 3.4 |
|  | M | 539 | 1386 | 99.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1925 | 96.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 57.1 | 83 | 97.6 | 87 | 94.6 | 2012 | 96.6 |
| Industrial sub total | F | 63 | 5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 68 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 42.9 | 2 | 1.1 | 5 | 2.6 | 73 | 2.5 |
|  | M | 727 | 1976 | 99.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2703 | 97.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 57.1 | 181 | 98.9 | 185 | 97.4 | 2888 | 97.5 |
| TOTAL | F | 6020 | 1036 | 21.1 | 259 | 54.6 | 3802 | 65.6 | 983 | 61.2 | 12100 | 46.9 | 85 | 48.6 | 855 | 59.5 | 1467 | 71.3 | 2407 | 65.6 | 14507 | 49.2 |
|  | M | 7014 | 3863 | 78.9 | 215 | 45.4 | 1996 | 34.4 | 623 | 38.8 | 13711 | 53.1 | 90 | 51.4 | 582 | 40.5 | 590 | 28.7 | 1262 | 34.4 | 14973 | 50.8 |

Table 1.4: NICS (Non-Industrial and Industrial) staff by Department/Agency, Grade Level and Community Background (CB).

| Grade Level | CB | Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DARD |  | DCAL |  | DE |  | DETI |  | DFP |  | OFMDFM |  | DEL |  | DHSSPS |  | DOE |  | Sub total <br> No |
|  |  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |  |
| Grades$5+, 6 \& 7$ | P | 166 | 54.1 | 14 | 56.0 | 63 | 70.0 | 96 | 68.6 | 138 | 64.8 | 46 | 67.6 | 25 | 62.5 | 92 | 64.8 | 59 | 62.8 | 699 |
|  | RC | 67 | 21.8 | 9 | 36.0 | 25 | 27.8 | 39 | 27.9 | 67 | 31.4 | 17 | 25.0 | 13 | 32.5 | 36 | 25.4 | 23 | 24.5 | 296 |
|  | ND | 74 | 24.0 | 2 | 8.0 | 2 | 2.2 | 5 | 3.5 | 8 | 3.8 | 5 | 7.4 | 2 | 5.0 | 14 | 9.8 | 12 | 12.7 | 124 |
| DP | P | 296 | 64.2 | 24 | 70.6 | 35 | 67.3 | 87 | 56.5 | 232 | 66.1 | 38 | 64.4 | 47 | 49.5 | 74 | 54.8 | 106 | 60.9 | 939 |
|  | RC | 131 | 28.4 | 10 | 29.4 | 15 | 28.8 | 58 | 37.7 | 107 | 30.5 | 16 | 27.1 | 45 | 47.4 | 54 | 40.0 | 55 | 31.6 | 491 |
|  | ND | 34 | 7.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.8 | 9 | 5.8 | 12 | 3.4 | 5 | 8.5 | 3 | 3.2 | 7 | 5.2 | 13 | 7.5 | 85 |
| SO | P | 183 | 60.6 | 28 | 66.7 | 35 | 71.4 | 94 | 55.3 | 259 | 60.9 | 29 | 58.0 | 82 | 49.4 | 76 | 53.1 | 138 | 52.9 | 924 |
|  | RC | 97 | 32.1 | 13 | 31.0 | 12 | 24.5 | 73 | 42.9 | 164 | 38.6 | 19 | 38.0 | 82 | 49.4 | 63 | 44.1 | 110 | 42.1 | 633 |
|  | ND | 22 | 7.3 | 1 | 2.4 | 2 | 4.1 | 3 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.5 | 2 | 4.0 | 2 | 1.2 | 4 | 2.8 | 13 | 5.0 | 51 |
| E01/EO2 | P | 627 | 54.5 | 117 | 70.5 | 94 | 61.4 | 170 | 50.7 | 351 | 58.0 | 43 | 75.4 | 205 | 37.2 | 152 | 60.8 | 275 | 57.8 | 2034 |
|  | RC | 495 | 43.0 | 47 | 28.3 | 55 | 35.9 | 157 | 46.9 | 246 | 40.7 | 14 | 24.6 | 338 | 61.3 | 92 | 36.8 | 178 | 37.4 | 1622 |
|  | ND | 29 | 2.5 | 2 | 1.2 | 4 | 2.6 | 8 | 2.4 | 8 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 1.5 | 6 | 2.4 | 23 | 4.8 | 88 |
| AO | P | 181 | 42.8 | 25 | 45.5 | 96 | 54.9 | 138 | 51.1 | 412 | 53.6 | 60 | 69.0 | 192 | 42.3 | 85 | 52.8 | 244 | 53.9 | 1433 |
|  | RC | 232 | 54.8 | 29 | 52.7 | 73 | 41.7 | 127 | 47.0 | 334 | 43.5 | 25 | 28.7 | 253 | 55.7 | 73 | 45.3 | 204 | 45.0 | 1350 |
|  | ND | 10 | 2.4 | 1 | 1.8 | 6 | 3.4 | 5 | 1.9 | 22 | 2.9 | 2 | 2.3 | 9 | 2.0 | 3 | 1.9 | 5 | 1.11 | 63 |
| AA | P | 199 | 45.1 | 20 | 52.6 | 47 | 73.4 | 83 | 60.6 | 140 | 50.0 | 18 | 58.1 | 62 | 41.9 | 61 | 61.0 | 69 | 39.9 | 699 |
|  | RC | 233 | 52.8 | 17 | 44.7 | 16 | 25.0 | 50 | 36.5 | 133 | 47.5 | 12 | 38.7 | 85 | 57.4 | 35 | 35.0 | 104 | 60.1 | 685 |
|  | ND | 9 | 2.0 | 1 | 2.6 | 1 | 1.6 | 4 | 2.9 | 7 | 2.5 | 1 | 3.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 4 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 28 |
| Non Industrial sub total | P | 1652 | 53.5 | 228 | 63.3 | 370 | 63.5 | 668 | 55.4 | 1532 | 58.0 | 234 | 66.5 | 613 | 42.2 | 540 | 58.0 | 891 | 54.6 | 6728 |
|  | RC | 1255 | 40.7 | 125 | 34.7 | 196 | 33.6 | 504 | 41.8 | 1051 | 39.8 | 103 | 29.3 | 816 | 56.1 | 353 | 37.9 | 674 | 41.3 | 5077 |
|  | ND | 178 | 5.8 | 7 | 1.9 | 17 | 2.9 | 34 | 2.8 | 59 | 2.2 | 15 | 4.3 | 25 | 1.7 | 38 | 4.1 | 66 | 4.0 | 439 |
| Industrial Supervisory | P | 53 | 58.9 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 55 |
|  | RC | 33 | 36.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 33 |
|  | ND | 4 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 |
| Industrial Craft | P | 32 | 78.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 66.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 19 | 47.5 | 61 |
|  | RC | 7 | 17.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 13.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 45.0 | 27 |
|  | ND | 2 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 7.5 | 8 |
| Industrial Non Craft | P | 225 | 45.9 | 6 | 40.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 20 | 83.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 40 | 58.8 |  |
|  | RC | 229 | 46.7 | 5 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 22 | 32.4 | 258 |
|  | ND | 36 | 7.3 | 4 | 26.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 8.8 | 49 |
| Industrial sub total | P | 310 | 49.9 | 8 | 47.1 | 1 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 30 | 76.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 59 | 54.6 | 411 |
|  | RC | 269 | 43.3 | 5 | 29.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 10.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 40 | 37.0 | 318 |
|  | ND | 42 | 6.8 | 4 | 23.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 12.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 8.3 | 61 |
| TOTAL | P | 1962 | 52.9 | 236 | 62.6 | 371 | 63.5 | 671 | 55.5 | 1562 | 58.3 | 234 | 66.5 | 613 | 42.1 | 540 | 58.0 | 950 | 54.6 | 7139 |
|  | RC | 1524 | 41.1 | 130 | 34.5 | 196 | 33.6 | 504 | 41.7 | 1055 | 39.4 | 103 | 29.3 | 816 | 56.1 | 353 | 37.9 | 714 | 41.1 | 5395 |
|  | ND | 220 | 5.9 | 11 | 2.9 | 17 | 2.9 | 34 | 2.8 | 64 | 2.4 | 15 | 4.3 | 26 | 1.8 | 38 | 4.1 | 75 | 4.3 | 500 |

Table 1.4 (cont'd): NICS (Non-Industrial and Industrial) staff by Department/Agency, Grade Level and Community Background (CB).

| Grade Level | CB | C/F | Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DRD |  | DSD Core |  | SSA |  | CSA |  | Total Staff in NI Departments |  | NI Assembly |  | NIO |  | PSNI |  | Total staff seconded to other Depts |  | Total NICS Staff |  |
|  |  |  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grades } \\ & 5+, 6 \& 7 \end{aligned}$ | P | 699 | 111 | 71.2 | 22 | 56.4 | 18 | 53.0 | 4 | 50.0 | 854 | 63.0 | 20 | 57.1 | 103 | 68.2 | 6 | 60.0 | 129 | 65.8 | 983 | 63.3 |
|  | RC | 296 | 38 | 24.3 | 14 | 35.9 | 15 | 44.1 | 4 | 50.0 | 367 | 27.1 | 13 | 37.1 | 39 | 25.8 | 3 | 30.0 | 55 | 28.1 | 422 | 27.2 |
|  | ND | 124 | 7 | 4.5 | 3 | 7.7 | 1 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 9.9 | 2 | 5.7 | 9 | 6.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 12 | 6.1 | 147 | 9.5 |
| DP | P | 939 | 190 | 66.2 | 30 | 60.0 | 32 | 36.4 | 7 | 41.2 | 1198 | 61.2 | 7 | 50.0 | 93 | 66.4 | 27 | 90.0 | 127 | 69.0 | 1325 | 61.9 |
|  | RC | 491 | 87 | 30.3 | 17 | 34.0 | 55 | 62.5 | 10 | 58.8 | 660 | 33.7 | 7 | 50.0 | 36 | 25.7 | 2 | 6.7 | 45 | 24.5 | 705 | 32.9 |
|  | ND | 85 | 10 | 3.5 | 3 | 6.0 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 99 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 7.9 | 1 | 3.3 | 12 | 6.5 | 111 | 5.2 |
| SO | P | 924 | 283 | 59.3 | 29 | 42.6 | 99 | 43.4 | 19 | 47.5 | 1354 | 56.0 | 15 | 57.7 | 140 | 76.1 | 48 | 82.8 | 203 | 75.7 | 1557 | 57.9 |
|  | RC | 633 | 178 | 37.3 | 39 | 57.4 | 127 | 55.7 | 19 | 47.5 | 996 | 41.1 | 10 | 38.5 | 39 | 21.2 | 8 | 13.8 | 57 | 21.3 | 1053 | 39.2 |
|  | ND | 51 | 16 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.9 | 2 | 5.0 | 71 | 2.9 | 1 | 3.8 | 5 | 2.7 | 2 | 3.4 | 8 | 3.0 | 79 | 2.9 |
| E01/E02 | P | 2034 | 626 | 57.9 | 62 | 46.6 | 781 | 41.3 | 182 | 50.4 | 3685 | 51.1 | 23 | 53.5 | 279 | 69.2 | 306 | 83.6 | 608 | 74.9 | 4293 | 53.5 |
|  | RC | 1622 | 423 | 39.1 | 70 | 52.6 | 1080 | 57.1 | 173 | 47.9 | 3368 | 46.7 | 19 | 44.2 | 112 | 27.8 | 53 | 14.5 | 184 | 22.7 | 3552 | 44.3 |
|  | ND | 88 | 33 | 3.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 32 | 1.7 | 6 | 1.7 | 160 | 2.2 | 1 | 2.3 | 12 | 3.0 | 7 | 1.9 | 20 | 2.4 | 180 | 2.2 |
| AO | P | 1433 | 286 | 44.8 | 46 | 37.4 | 1042 | 40.0 | 526 | 47.8 | 3333 | 45.6 | 20 | 48.8 | 228 | 70.4 | 896 | 84.7 | 1144 | 80.4 | 4477 | 51.3 |
|  | RC | 1350 | 341 | 53.4 | 74 | 60.2 | 1525 | 58.6 | 552 | 50.1 | 3842 | 52.6 | 20 | 48.8 | 89 | 27.5 | 128 | 12.1 | 237 | 16.6 | 4079 | 46.7 |
|  | ND | 63 | 12 | 1.9 | 3 | 2.4 | 35 | 1.3 | 23 | 2.1 | 136 | 1.8 | 1 | 2.4 | 7 | 2.2 | 34 | 3.2 | 42 | 3.0 | 178 | 2.0 |
| AA | P | 699 | 122 | 44.0 | 25 | 41.0 | 338 | 35.5 | 26 | 32.9 | 1210 | 43.5 | 12 | 75.0 | 149 | 65.4 | 288 | 81.8 | 449 | 75.3 | 1659 | 49.1 |
|  | RC | 685 | 148 | 53.4 | 35 | 57.4 | 589 | 61.8 | 51 | 64.6 | 1508 | 54.2 | 3 | 18.8 | 74 | 32.5 | 51 | 14.5 | 128 | 21.5 | 1636 | 48.4 |
|  | ND | 28 | 7 | 2.5 | 1 | 1.6 | 26 | 2.7 | 2 | 2.5 | 64 | 2.3 | 1 | 6.3 | 5 | 2.2 | 13 | 3.7 | 19 | 3.2 | 83 | 2.5 |
| Non Industrial sub total | P | 6728 | 1618 | 55.4 | 214 | 45.1 | 2310 | 39.8 | 764 | 47.6 | 11634 | 50.5 | 97 | 55.4 | 992 | 69.4 | 1571 | 83.8 | 2660 | 76.4 | 14294 | 53.9 |
|  | RC | 5077 | 1215 | 41.6 | 249 | 52.5 | 3391 | 58.5 | 809 | 50.4 | 10741 | 46.6 | 72 | 41.1 | 389 | 27.2 | 245 | 13.1 | 706 | 20.3 | 11447 | 43.2 |
|  | ND | 439 | 85 | 2.9 | 11 | 2.3 | 97 | 1.7 | 33 | 2.1 | 665 | 2.9 | 6 | 3.4 | 49 | 3.4 | 58 | 3.1 | 113 | 3.3 | 778 | 2.9 |
| Industrial Supervisory | P | 55 | 207 | 54.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 262 | 55.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 25 | 96.2 | 25 | 96.2 | 287 | 57.4 |
|  | RC | 33 | 158 | 41.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 191 | 40.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 38.2 |
|  | ND | 4 | 17 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 4.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.8 | 1 | 3.8 | 22 | 4.4 |
| Industrial Craft | P | 61 | 136 | 64.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 197 | 64.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 65 | 90.3 | 65 | 90.3 | 262 | 69.3 |
|  | RC | 27 | 48 | 22.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 75 | 24.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.2 | 3 | 4.2 | 78 | 20.6 |
|  | ND | 8 | 26 | 12.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 34 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.6 | 4 | 5.5 | 38 | 10.1 |
| Industrial Non Craft | P | 295 | 712 | 51.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1007 | 50.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 85.7 | 72 | 84.7 | 78 | 84.8 | 1085 | 84.8 |
|  | RC | 258 | 538 | 38.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 796 | 40.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 | 4 | 4.7 | 5 | 5.4 | 801 | 5.4 |
|  | ND | 49 | 139 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 188 | 9.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 10.6 | 9 | 9.8 | 197 | 9.8 |
| Industrial sub total | P | 411 | 1055 | 53.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1466 | 52.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 85.7 | 162 | 88.5 | 168 | 88.4 | 1634 | 55.2 |
|  | RC | 318 | 744 | 37.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1062 | 38.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 | 7 | 3.8 | 8 | 4.2 | 1070 | 36.1 |
|  | ND | 61 | 182 | 9.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 243 | 8.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 7.7 | 14 | 7.4 | 257 | 8.7 |
| TOTAL | P | 7139 | 2673 | 54.6 | 214 | 45.1 | 2310 | 39.8 | 764 | 47.6 | 13100 | 50.8 | 97 | 55.4 | 998 | 69.5 | 1733 | 84.2 | 2828 | 77.1 | 15928 | 54.0 |
|  | RC | 5395 | 1959 | 40.0 | 249 | 52.5 | 3391 | 58.5 | 809 | 50.4 | 11803 | 45.7 | 72 | 41.1 | 390 | 27.1 | 252 | 12.3 | 714 | 19.5 | 12517 | 42.5 |
|  | ND | 500 | 267 | 5.5 | 11 | 2.3 | 97 | 1.7 | 33 | 2.1 | 908 | 3.5 | 6 | 3.4 | 49 | 3.4 | 72 | 3.5 | 127 | 3.4 | 1035 | 3.5 |

## NON-INDUSTRIAL SERVICE - ALL STAFF

Table 2.1: Non-Industrial Staff by Occupational Group by Sex

| Occupational <br> Group | Total Staff |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | No | Col\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% |
| General <br> Service | 17944 | 67.7 | 11420 | 63.6 | 6524 | 36.4 |
| Secretarial | 1265 | 4.8 | 1263 | 99.8 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Scientific | 809 | 3.0 | 284 | 35.1 | 525 | 64.9 |
| Technology | 2055 | 7.7 | 169 | 8.2 | 1886 | 91.8 |
| Legal | 207 | 0.8 | 107 | 51.7 | 100 | 48.3 |
| Computing | 564 | 2.1 | 143 | 25.3 | 421 | 74.6 |
| Departmental <br> Specialisms | 2726 | 10.3 | 614 | 22.5 | 2112 | 77.5 |
| Centralised <br> Services | 949 | 3.6 | 434 | 45.7 | 515 | 54.3 |
| TOTAL | 26519 | 100.0 | 14434 | 54.4 | 12085 | 45.6 |

Table 2.2: Non-Industrial Staff by Occupational Group by Community Background

| Occupational Group | Total Staff |  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Not Determined |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Col\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% |
| General Service | 17944 | 67.7 | 8944 | 49.8 | 8670 | 48.3 | 330 | 1.8 |
| Secretarial | 1265 | 4.8 | 844 | 66.7 | 401 | 31.7 | 20 | 1.6 |
| Scientific | 809 | 3.0 | 515 | 63.6 | 216 | 26.7 | 78 | 9.6 |
| Technology | 2055 | 7.7 | 1317 | 64.1 | 657 | 32.0 | 81 | 3.9 |
| Legal | 207 | 0.8 | 117 | 56.5 | 84 | 40.6 | 6 | 2.9 |
| Computing | 564 | 2.1 | 319 | 56.6 | 237 | 42.0 | 8 | 1.4 |
| Departmental Specialisms | 2726 | 10.3 | 1593 | 58.4 | 939 | 34.4 | 194 | 7.1 |
| Centralised Services | 949 | 3.6 | 645 | 68.0 | 243 | 25.6 | 61 | 6.4 |
| TOTAL | 26519 | 100.0 | 14294 | 53.9 | 11447 | 43.2 | 778 | 2.9 |

## Appendix 2

Table 2.3: Part-time and Full-Time Non-Industrial Staff by Sex

| Staff Group | Total Staff |  | Female |  | Male |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% |
| Part-Time | 3227 | 12.2 | 3108 | 96.3 | 119 | 3.7 |
| Full-Time | 23292 | 87.8 | 11326 | 48.6 | 11966 | 51.4 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 6 5 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 6}$ |

Table 2.4: Part-time and Full-Time Non-Industrial Staff by Community Background

| Staff Group | Total Staff |  | Protestant |  | Roman Catholic |  | Not Determined |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% |
| Part-Time | 3227 | 12.2 | 1693 | 52.5 | 1471 | 45.6 | 63 | 1.9 |
| Full-Time | 23292 | 87.8 | 12601 | 54.1 | 9976 | 42.8 | 715 | 3.1 |
| TOTAL | 26519 | 100.0 | 14294 | 53.9 | 11447 | 43.2 | 778 | 2.9 |

Table 2.5: Part-time staff as a \% of total Non-Industrial Staff in each Department and as a \% of total Non-Industrial staff in the NICS.

| Department/ <br> Agency | No of Part-Time Staff <br> in each Department | Part-time staff as \% of <br> each Department | Part-time staff as \% of <br> the NICS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DARD | 273 | 8.8 | 8.4 |
| DCAL | 17 | 4.7 | 0.5 |
| DE | 76 | 13.0 | 2.3 |
| DETI | 118 | 9.8 | 3.6 |
| DFP | 267 | 10.1 | 8.3 |
| OFMDFM | 23 | 6.5 | 0.7 |
| DL | 286 | 19.7 | 8.9 |
| DHSSPS | 98 | 10.5 | 3.0 |
| DOE | 132 | 8.1 | 4.1 |
| DRD | 190 | 6.5 | 5.9 |
| DSD (Core) | 25 | 5.3 | 0.8 |
| SSA | 984 | 17.0 | 30.5 |
| CSA | 242 | 15.1 | 7.5 |
| NI Assembly | 12 | 6.9 | 0.4 |
| NIO | 150 | 10.5 | 4.6 |
| PSNI | 334 | 17.8 | 10.3 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 2 2 7}$ | 12.2 | 100.0 |

## Appendix 3

## NON-INDUSTRIAL SERVICE - GENERAL SERVICE STAFF

Table 3.1: General Service staff by Department/Agency

| Dept/ Agency | Total General | Sex |  |  |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in Dept/ Agency | Female |  | Male |  | P |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | No | Row\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% |
| DARD | 1215 | 787 | 64.8 | 428 | 35.2 | 556 | 45.8 | 638 | 52.5 | 21 | 1.7 |
| DCAL | 136 | 83 | 61.0 | 53 | 39.0 | 79 | 58.1 | 57 | 41.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| DE | 452 | 273 | 60.4 | 179 | 39.6 | 285 | 63.1 | 155 | 34.3 | 12 | 2.7 |
| DETI | 852 | 438 | 51.4 | 414 | 48.6 | 459 | 53.9 | 376 | 44.1 | 17 | 2.0 |
| DFP | 1435 | 850 | 59.2 | 585 | 40.8 | 747 | 52.1 | 663 | 46.2 | 25 | 1.7 |
| OFMDFM | 277 | 137 | 49.5 | 140 | 50.5 | 180 | 65.0 | 85 | 30.7 | 12 | 4.3 |
| DEL | 1266 | 831 | 65.6 | 435 | 34.4 | 533 | 42.1 | 713 | 56.3 | 20 | 1.6 |
| DHSSPS | 539 | 287 | 53.2 | 252 | 46.8 | 309 | 57.3 | 212 | 39.3 | 18 | 3.3 |
| DOE | 904 | 622 | 68.8 | 282 | 31.2 | 485 | 53.7 | 409 | 45.2 | 10 | 1.1 |
| DRD | 1183 | 752 | 63.6 | 431 | 36.4 | 562 | 47.5 | 600 | 50.7 | 21 | 1.8 |
| DSD (Core) | 425 | 221 | 52.0 | 204 | 48.0 | 195 | 45.9 | 222 | 52.2 | 8 | 1.9 |
| SSA | 5569 | 3698 | 66.4 | 1871 | 33.6 | 2196 | 39.4 | 3286 | 59.0 | 87 | 1.6 |
| CSA | 1604 | 981 | 61.2 | 623 | 38.8 | 764 | 47.6 | 807 | 50.3 | 33 | 2.1 |
| NIA | 124 | 55 | 44.4 | 69 | 55.6 | 69 | 55.6 | 51 | 41.1 | 4 | 3.2 |
| NIO | 928 | 570 | 61.4 | 358 | 38.6 | 662 | 71.3 | 241 | 26.0 | 25 | 2.7 |
| PSNI | 1035 | 835 | 80.7 | 200 | 19.3 | 863 | 83.4 | 155 | 15.0 | 17 | 1.6 |
| TOTAL | 17944 | 11420 | 63.6 | 6524 | 36.4 | 8944 | 49.8 | 8670 | 48.3 | 330 | 1.8 |

Table 3.2: General Service staff by Grade Level

| Grade Level | Total Staff |  | Sex |  |  |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female |  | Male |  | P |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% |
| Grade 5+ | 169 | 0.9 | 26 | 15.4 | 143 | 84.6 | 112 | 66.3 | 47 | 27.8 | 10 | 5.9 |
| Grade 6/7 | 525 | 2.9 | 125 | 23.8 | 400 | 76.2 | 336 | 64.0 | 172 | 32.8 | 17 | 3.2 |
| DP | 978 | 5.5 | 354 | 36.2 | 624 | 63.8 | 583 | 59.6 | 364 | 37.2 | 31 | 3.2 |
| SO | 1399 | 7.8 | 690 | 49.3 | 709 | 50.7 | 769 | 55.0 | 609 | 43.5 | 21 | 1.5 |
| E01/E02 | 4903 | 27.3 | 3212 | 65.5 | 1691 | 34.5 | 2424 | 49.4 | 2404 | 49.0 | 75 | 1.5 |
| AO | 7182 | 40.0 | 5053 | 70.4 | 2129 | 29.6 | 3422 | 47.6 | 3642 | 50.7 | 118 | 1.6 |
| AA | 2788 | 15.5 | 1960 | 70.3 | 828 | 29.7 | 1298 | 46.6 | 1432 | 51.4 | 58 | 2.1 |
| TOTAL | 17944 | 100.0 | 11420 | 63.6 | 6524 | 36.4 | 8944 | 49.8 | 8670 | 48.3 | 330 | 1.8 |

Table 3.3: General Service staff by Department/Agency, Grade Level and Sex
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| Grade Level | Sex | Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DARD |  | DCAL |  | DE |  | DETI |  | DFP |  | OFMDFM |  | DEL |  | DHSSPS |  | Sub <br> Total <br> No |
|  |  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |  |
| Grade 5 + | F | 2 | 13.3 | 1 | 25.0 | 2 | 20.0 | 2 | 8.0 | 4 | 21.1 | 6 | 33.3 | 2 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 19 |
|  | M | 13 | 86.7 | 3 | 75.0 | 8 | 80.0 | 23 | 92.0 | 15 | 78.9 | 12 | 66.7 | 8 | 80.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 97 |
| Grades 6/7 | F | 7 | 22.6 | 4 | 36.4 | 4 | 18.2 | 10 | 13.9 | 17 | 30.9 | 10 | 26.3 | 7 | 25.0 | 16 | 34.8 | 75 |
|  | M | 24 | 77.4 | 7 | 63.6 | 18 | 81.8 | 62 | 86.1 | 38 | 69.1 | 28 | 73.7 | 21 | 75.0 | 30 | 65.2 | 228 |
| DP | F | 27 | 37.0 | 10 | 52.6 | 19 | 41.3 | 34 | 31.2 | 42 | 37.5 | 21 | 41.2 | 25 | 28.1 | 30 | 33.7 | 208 |
|  | M | 46 | 63.0 | 9 | 47.4 | 27 | 58.7 | 75 | 68.8 | 70 | 62.5 | 30 | 58.8 | 64 | 71.9 | 59 | 66.3 | 380 |
| So | F | 62 | 54.9 | 12 | 54.5 | 19 | 43.2 | 50 | 50.0 | 78 | 50.3 | 21 | 46.7 | 65 | 50.8 | 33 | 42.3 | 340 |
|  | M | 51 | 45.1 | 10 | 45.5 | 25 | 56.8 | 50 | 50.0 | 77 | 49.7 | 24 | 53.3 | 63 | 49.2 | 45 | 57.7 | 345 |
| E01/E02 | F | 157 | 60.6 | 15 | 65.2 | 84 | 63.6 | 150 | 62.0 | 200 | 61.3 | 32 | 59.3 | 328 | 73.1 | 91 | 65.5 | 1057 |
|  | M | 102 | 39.4 | 8 | 34.8 | 48 | 36.4 | 92 | 38.0 | 126 | 38.7 | 22 | 40.7 | 121 | 26.9 | 48 | 34.5 | 567 |
| AO | F | 272 | 74.9 | 28 | 73.7 | 102 | 70.3 | 129 | 62.3 | 365 | 67.7 | 30 | 68.2 | 318 | 73.8 | 73 | 73.0 | 1317 |
|  | M | 91 | 25.1 | 10 | 26.3 | 43 | 29.7 | 78 | 37.7 | 174 | 32.3 | 14 | 31.8 | 113 | 26.2 | 27 | 27.0 | 550 |
| AA | F | 260 | 72.0 | 13 | 68.4 | 43 | 81.1 | 63 | 64.9 | 144 | 62.9 | 17 | 63.0 | 86 | 65.6 | 44 | 61.1 | 670 |
|  | M | 101 | 28.0 | 6 | 31.6 | 10 | 18.9 | 34 | 35.1 | 85 | 37.1 | 10 | 37.0 | 45 | 34.4 | 28 | 38.9 | 319 |
| TOTAL | F | 787 | 64.8 | 83 | 61.0 | 273 | 60.4 | 438 | 51.4 | 850 | 59.2 | 137 | 49.5 | 831 | 65.6 | 287 | 53.2 | 3686 |
|  | M | 428 | 35.2 | 53 | 39.0 | 179 | 39.6 | 414 | 48.6 | 585 | 40.8 | 140 | 50.5 | 435 | 34.4 | 252 | 46.8 | 2486 |

Table 3.3 (continued) General Service staff by Department/Agency, Grade Level and Sex

| Grade Level | Sex | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C/F } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Departments/Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DOE |  | DRD |  | DSD Core |  | SSA |  | CSA |  | Total GS Staff in Nl Departments |  | NIA |  | NIO |  | PSNI |  | Total No GS Staff Seconded |  | Total GS staff In the NICS |  |
|  |  |  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| Grade 5 + | F | 19 | 2 | 18.2 | 2 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 23 | 14.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 27.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 25.0 | 26 | 15.4 |
|  | M | 97 | 9 | 81.8 | 12 | 85.7 | 9 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 132 | 85.2 | 2 | 100.0 | 8 | 72.7 | 1 | 100.0 | 9 | 75.0 | 143 | 84.6 |
| Grades 6/7 | F | 75 | 4 | 14.3 | 11 | 28.2 | 6 | 21.4 | 5 | 17.2 | 2 | 28.6 | 103 | 23.7 | 7 | 21.9 | 13 | 24.1 | 2 | 40.0 | 22 | 24.2 | 125 | 23.8 |
|  | M | 228 | 24 | 85.7 | 28 | 71.8 | 22 | 78.6 | 24 | 82.8 | 5 | 71.4 | 331 | 76.3 | 25 | 78.1 | 41 | 75.9 | 3 | 60.0 | 69 | 75.8 | 400 | 76.2 |
| DP | F | 208 | 28 | 42.4 | 22 | 29.7 | 17 | 37.0 | 42 | 48.8 | 5 | 29.4 | 322 | 36.7 | 2 | 20.0 | 26 | 34.7 | 4 | 25.0 | 32 | 31.7 | 354 | 36.6 |
|  | M | 380 | 38 | 57.6 | 52 | 70.3 | 29 | 63.0 | 44 | 51.2 | 12 | 70.6 | 555 | 63.3 | 8 | 80.0 | 49 | 65.3 | 12 | 75.0 | 69 | 68.3 | 624 | 64.4 |
| SO | F | 340 | 43 | 53.1 | 48 | 41.4 | 26 | 40.0 | 125 | 55.8 | 22 | 55.0 | 604 | 49.9 | 7 | 30.4 | 64 | 47.8 | 15 | 48.4 | 86 | 45.7 | 690 | 49.3 |
|  | M | 345 | 38 | 46.9 | 68 | 58.6 | 39 | 60.0 | 99 | 44.2 | 18 | 45.0 | 607 | 50.1 | 16 | 69.6 | 70 | 52.2 | 16 | 51.6 | 102 | 54.3 | 709 | 50.7 |
| E01/E02 | F | 1057 | 105 | 66.0 | 154 | 62.1 | 74 | 57.8 | 1217 | 64.9 | 234 | 64.8 | 2841 | 64.6 | 26 | 72.2 | 180 | 75.3 | 165 | 71.1 | 371 | 73.2 | 3212 | 65.5 |
|  | M | 567 | 54 | 34.0 | 94 | 37.9 | 54 | 42.2 | 659 | 35.1 | 127 | 35.2 | 1555 | 35.4 | 10 | 27.8 | 59 | 24.7 | 67 | 28.9 | 136 | 26.8 | 1691 | 34.5 |
| AO | F | 1317 | 328 | 81.4 | 379 | 74.5 | 62 | 67.4 | 1766 | 69.5 | 674 | 61.3 | 4526 | 69.5 | 11 | 57.9 | 164 | 71.3 | 352 | 83.2 | 527 | 78.4 | 5053 | 70.3 |
|  | M | 550 | 75 | 18.6 | 130 | 25.5 | 30 | 32.6 | 774 | 30.5 | 425 | 38.7 | 1984 | 30.5 | 8 | 42.1 | 66 | 28.7 | 71 | 16.8 | 145 | 21.6 | 2129 | 29.7 |
| AA | F | 670 | 112 | 71.8 | 136 | 74.3 | 36 | 63.2 | 543 | 67.0 | 44 | 55.7 | 1541 | 67.8 | 2 | 100.0 | 120 | 64.9 | 297 | 90.8 | 419 | 81.5 | 1960 | 70.3 |
|  | M | 319 | 44 | 28.2 | 47 | 25.7 | 21 | 36.8 | 267 | 33.0 | 35 | 44.3 | 733 | 32.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 65 | 35.1 | 30 | 9.2 | 95 | 18.5 | 828 | 29.7 |
| TOTAL | F | 3686 | 622 | 68.8 | 752 | 63.6 | 221 | 52.0 | 3698 | 66.4 | 981 | 61.2 | 9960 | 63.0 | 55 | 44.4 | 570 | 61.4 | 835 | 80.7 | 1460 | 70.0 | 11420 | 63.6 |
|  | M | 2486 | 282 | 31.2 | 431 | 36.4 | 204 | 48.0 | 1871 | 33.6 | 623 | 38.8 | 5897 | 37.0 | 69 | 55.6 | 358 | 38.6 | 200 | 19.3 | 627 | 30.0 | 6524 | 36.4 |

Table 3.4: General Service staff by Department/Agency, Grade Level and Community Background (CB)

| Grade Level | CB | Departments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DARD |  | DCAL |  | DE |  | DETI |  | DFP |  | OFMDFM |  | DEL |  | DHSSPS |  | Sub Total |
|  |  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grades 5+, } \\ & 6 \& 7 \end{aligned}$ | P | 30 | 67.7 | 9 | 63.6 | 23 | 77.3 | 67 | 65.3 | 49 | 63.6 | 36 | 71.1 | 23 | 57.1 | 41 | 67.4 | 278 |
|  | RC | 15 | 32.3 | 6 | 36.4 | 8 | 18.2 | 28 | 34.7 | 24 | 34.5 | 15 | 21.1 | 13 | 39.3 | 18 | 30.4 | 127 |
|  | ND | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.5 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.8 | 5 | 7.9 | 2 | 3.6 | 2 | 2.2 | 14 |
| DP | P | 44 | 60.3 | 12 | 63.2 | 32 | 69.6 | 62 | 56.9 | 69 | 61.6 | 36 | 70.6 | 44 | 49.4 | 51 | 57.3 | 350 |
|  | RC | 28 | 38.4 | 7 | 36.8 | 12 | 26.1 | 41 | 37.6 | 41 | 36.6 | 12 | 23.5 | 42 | 47.2 | 36 | 40.4 | 219 |
|  | ND | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.3 | 6 | 5.5 | 2 | 1.8 | 3 | 5.9 | 3 | 3.4 | 2 | 2.2 | 19 |
| so | P | 62 | 54.9 | 16 | 72.7 | 31 | 70.5 | 52 | 52.0 | 86 | 55.5 | 26 | 57.8 | 61 | 47.7 | 40 | 51.3 | 374 |
|  | RC | 50 | 44.2 | 6 | 27.3 | 11 | 25.0 | 48 | 48.0 | 68 | 43.9 | 17 | 37.8 | 65 | 50.8 | 35 | 44.9 | 300 |
|  | ND | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 4.4 | 2 | 1.6 | 3 | 3.8 | 11 |
| E01/E02 | P | 125 | 48.3 | 14 | 60.9 | 81 | 61.4 | 117 | 48.3 | 171 | 52.5 | 40 | 74.1 | 175 | 39.0 | 89 | 64.0 | 812 |
|  | RC | 132 | 51.0 | 9 | 39.1 | 48 | 36.4 | 121 | 50.0 | 152 | 46.6 | 14 | 25.9 | 271 | 60.4 | 44 | 31.7 | 791 |
|  | ND | 2 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.3 | 4 | 1.7 | 3 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.7 | 6 | 4.3 | 21 |
| AO | P | 148 | 40.8 | 19 | 50.0 | 77 | 53.1 | 107 | 51.7 | 266 | 49.4 | 28 | 63.6 | 178 | 41.3 | 51 | 51.0 | 874 |
|  | RC | 207 | 57.0 | 19 | 50.0 | 64 | 44.1 | 97 | 46.9 | 262 | 48.6 | 15 | 34.1 | 244 | 56.6 | 46 | 46.0 | 954 |
|  | ND | 8 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 2.8 | 3 | 1.4 | 11 | 2.0 | 1 | 2.3 | 9 | 2.1 | 3 | 3.0 | 39 |
| AA | P | 147 | 40.7 | 9 | 47.4 | 41 | 77.4 | 54 | 55.7 | 106 | 46.3 | 14 | 51.9 | 52 | 39.7 | 37 | 51.4 | 460 |
|  | RC | 206 | 57.1 | 10 | 52.6 | 12 | 22.6 | 41 | 42.3 | 116 | 50.7 | 12 | 44.4 | 78 | 59.5 | 33 | 45.8 | 508 |
|  | ND | 8 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.1 | 7 | 3.1 | 1 | 3.7 | 1 | 0.8 | 2 | 2.8 | 21 |
| GENERAL SERVICE SUB-TOTAL | P | 556 | 45.8 | 79 | 58.1 | 285 | 63.1 | 459 | 53.9 | 747 | 52.1 | 180 | 65.0 | 533 | 42.1 | 309 | 57.3 | 3148 |
|  | RC | 638 | 52.5 | 57 | 41.9 | 155 | 34.3 | 376 | 44.1 | 663 | 46.2 | 85 | 30.7 | 713 | 56.3 | 212 | 39.3 | 2899 |
|  | ND | 21 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 2.7 | 17 | 2.0 | 25 | 1.7 | 12 | 4.3 | 20 | 1.6 | 18 | 3.3 | 125 |

Table 3.4 (continued): General Service staff by Department/Agency, Grade Level and Community Background (CB)

| Grade Level | CB | C/F | Departments/ Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DOE |  | DRD |  | DSD Core |  | SSA |  | CSA |  | Total GS staff in NI Depts |  | NIA |  | NIO |  | PSNI |  | Total GS staff seconded |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grades 5+, } \\ & 6 \& 7 \end{aligned}$ | P | 278 | 26 | 66.7 | 32 | 60.4 | 22 | 59.5 | 18 | 54.6 | 4 | 50.0 | 380 | 64.5 | 19 | 35.2 | 46 | 70.8 | 3 | 50.0 | 68 | 64.8 | 448 | 64.5 |
|  | RC | 127 | 13 | 33.3 | 20 | 37.7 | 12 | 32.4 | 14 | 42.4 | 4 | 50.0 | 190 | 32.3 | 13 | 24.1 | 14 | 21.5 | 2 | 33.3 | 29 | 27.6 | 219 | 31.6 |
|  | ND | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.9 | 3 | 8.1 | 1 | 3.0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 3.2 | 2 | 40.7 | 5 | 7.7 | 1 | 16.7 | 8 | 7.6 | 27 | 3.9 |
| DP | P | 350 | 46 | 69.7 | 45 | 60.8 | 28 | 60.9 | 30 | 34.9 | 7 | 41.2 | 506 | 57.7 | 5 | 50.0 | 58 | 77.3 | 14 | 87.5 | 77 | 76.2 | 583 | 59.6 |
|  | RC | 219 | 18 | 27.3 | 27 | 36.5 | 16 | 34.8 | 55 | 64.0 | 10 | 58.8 | 345 | 39.3 | 5 | 50.0 | 13 | 17.3 | 1 | 6.3 | 19 | 18.8 | 364 | 37.2 |
|  | ND | 19 | 2 | 3.0 | 2 | 2.7 | 2 | 4.3 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 26 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 5.3 | 1 | 6.3 | 5 | 5.0 | 31 | 3.2 |
| So | P | 374 | 42 | 51.9 | 64 | 55.2 | 26 | 40.0 | 95 | 42.4 | 19 | 47.5 | 620 | 51.2 | 13 | 56.5 | 107 | 79.9 | 29 | 93.5 | 149 | 79.3 | 769 | 55.0 |
|  | RC | 300 | 38 | 46.9 | 51 | 44.0 | 39 | 60.0 | 127 | 56.7 | 19 | 47.5 | 574 | 47.4 | 10 | 43.5 | 23 | 17.2 | 2 | 6.5 | 35 | 18.6 | 609 | 43.5 |
|  | ND | 11 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.9 | 2 | 5.0 | 17 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 2.1 | 21 | 1.5 |
| E01/EO2 | P | 812 | 97 | 61.0 | 128 | 51.6 | 59 | 46.1 | 770 | 41.0 | 182 | 50.4 | 2048 | 46.5 | 19 | 52.8 | 168 | 70.3 | 189 | 81.5 | 376 | 74.1 | 2424 | 49.4 |
|  | RC | 791 | 59 | 37.1 | 115 | 46.4 | 68 | 53.1 | 1075 | 57.3 | 173 | 47.9 | 2281 | 51.8 | 16 | 44.4 | 67 | 28.0 | 40 | 17.2 | 123 | 24.3 | 2404 | 49.0 |
|  | ND | 21 | 3 | 1.9 | 5 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 31 | 1.7 | 6 | 1.7 | 67 | 1.5 | 1 | 2.8 | 4 | 1.7 | 3 | 1.3 | 8 | 1.6 | 75 | 1.6 |
| AO | P | 874 | 213 | 52.9 | 217 | 42.6 | 37 | 40.2 | 1012 | 39.8 | 526 | 47.9 | 2879 | 44.2 | 12 | 63.2 | 171 | 74.3 | 360 | 85.1 | 543 | 80.8 | 3422 | 47.6 |
|  | RC | 954 | 186 | 46.2 | 282 | 55.4 | 54 | 58.7 | 1494 | 58.8 | 550 | 50.0 | 3520 | 54.1 | 7 | 36.8 | 54 | 23.5 | 61 | 14.4 | 122 | 18.2 | 3642 | 50.7 |
|  | ND | 39 | 4 | 1.0 | 10 | 2.0 | 1 | 1.1 | 34 | 1.3 | 23 | 2.1 | 111 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 2.2 | 2 | 0.5 | 7 | 1.0 | 118 | 1.7 |
| AA | P | 460 | 61 | 39.1 | 76 | 41.5 | 23 | 40.4 | 271 | 33.5 | 26 | 32.9 | 917 | 40.2 | 1 | 50.0 | 112 | 60.5 | 268 | 82.0 | 381 | 74.1 | 1298 | 46.5 |
|  | RC | 508 | 95 | 60.9 | 105 | 57.4 | 33 | 57.9 | 521 | 64.3 | 51 | 64.6 | 1313 | 57.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 70 | 37.8 | 49 | 15.0 | 119 | 23.2 | 1432 | 51.4 |
|  | ND | 21 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.8 | 18 | 2.2 | 2 | 2.5 | 44 | 1.9 | 1 | 50.0 | 3 | 1.6 | 10 | 3.1 | 14 | 2.7 | 58 | 2.1 |
| GENERAL SERVICE TOTAL | P | 3148 | 485 | 53.7 | 562 | 47.5 | 195 | 45.9 | 2196 | 39.4 | 764 | 47.6 | 7350 | 46.3 | 69 | 55.6 | 662 | 71.3 | 863 | 83.4 | 1594 | 76.4 | 8944 | 49.8 |
|  | RC | 2899 | 409 | 45.2 | 600 | 50.7 | 222 | 52.2 | 3286 | 59.0 | 807 | 50.3 | 8223 | 51.9 | 51 | 41.1 | 241 | 26.0 | 155 | 15.0 | 447 | 21.4 | 8670 | 48.3 |
|  | ND | 125 | 10 | 1.1 | 21 | 1.8 | 8 | 1.9 | 87 | 1.6 | 33 | 2.1 | 284 | 1.8 | 4 | 3.2 | 25 | 2.7 | 17 | 1.6 | 46 | 2.2 | 330 | 1.9 |

## Appendix 4

## INDUSTRIAL SERVICE

Table 4.1: Industrial staff by Department, Sex and Community Background

| Dept | Total Industrial Staff |  | Sex |  |  |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female |  | Male |  | P |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% |
| DARD | 621 | 21.0 | 52 | 8.4 | 569 | 91.6 | 310 | 49.9 | 269 | 43.3 | 42 | 6.8 |
| DOE | 108 | 3.6 | 11 | 10.2 | 97 | 89.8 | 59 | 54.6 | 40 | 37.0 | 9 | 8.3 |
| DRD | 1981 | 66.9 | 5 | 0.3 | 1976 | 99.7 | 1055 | 53.3 | 744 | 37.6 | 182 | 9.2 |
| PSNI | 183 | 6.2 | 2 | 1.1 | 181 | 98.9 | 162 | 88.5 | 7 | 3.8 | 14 | 7.7 |
| Others | 68 | 2.3 | 3 | 4.4 | 65 | 95.6 | 48 | 70.6 | 10 | 14.7 | 10 | 14.7 |
| TOTAL | 2961 | 100.0 | 73 | 2.5 | 2888 | 97.5 | 1634 | 55.2 | 1070 | 36.1 | 257 | 8.7 |

Note: Others includes staff in DCAL, DE, DETI, DEL, DFP and NIO.

Table 4.2: Industrial staff by Grade Level, Sex and Community Background

| Grade Level | Total Industrial Staff |  | Sex |  |  |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male |  | Female |  | P |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% |
| Supervisory | 500 | 16.9 | 498 | 99.6 | 2 | 0.4 | 287 | 57.4 | 191 | 38.2 | 22 | 4.4 |
| Craft | 378 | 12.8 | 378 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 262 | 69.3 | 78 | 20.6 | 38 | 10.1 |
| Non-craft | 2083 | 70.3 | 2012 | 96.6 | 71 | 3.4 | 1085 | 52.1 | 801 | 38.5 | 197 | 9.5 |
| TOTAL | 2961 | 100.0 | 2888 | 97.5 | 73 | 2.5 | 1634 | 55.2 | 1070 | 36.1 | 257 | 8.7 |

Table 4.3: Industrial staff by Age, Sex and Community Background

| Age (years) | Total Staff |  | Sex |  |  |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female |  | Male |  | P |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Col\% | No | Col\% | No | Col\% | No | Col\% | No | Col\% |
| 16-19 | 5 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 33 | 1.1 | 5 | 6.8 | 28 | 1.0 | 17 | 1.0 | 13 | 1.2 | 3 | 1.2 |
| 25-29 | 131 | 4.4 | 9 | 12.3 | 122 | 4.2 | 76 | 4.7 | 36 | 3.4 | 19 | 7.4 |
| 30-34 | 296 | 10.0 | 12 | 16.4 | 284 | 9.8 | 146 | 8.9 | 117 | 10.9 | 33 | 12.8 |
| 35-39 | 449 | 15.2 | 9 | 12.3 | 440 | 15.2 | 255 | 15.6 | 163 | 15.2 | 31 | 12.1 |
| 40-44 | 537 | 18.1 | 12 | 16.4 | 525 | 18.2 | 294 | 18.0 | 195 | 18.2 | 48 | 18.7 |
| 45-49 | 466 | 15.7 | 7 | 9.6 | 459 | 15.9 | 258 | 15.8 | 178 | 16.6 | 30 | 11.7 |
| 50-54 | 446 | 15.1 | 9 | 12.3 | 437 | 15.1 | 244 | 14.9 | 167 | 15.6 | 35 | 13.6 |
| 55-59 | 366 | 12.4 | 7 | 9.6 | 359 | 12.4 | 205 | 12.5 | 123 | 11.5 | 38 | 14.8 |
| 60+ | 232 | 7.8 | 3 | 4.1 | 229 | 7.9 | 134 | 8.2 | 78 | 7.3 | 20 | 7.8 |
| TOTAL | 2961 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 | 2888 | 100.0 | 1634 | 100.0 | 1070 | 100.0 | 257 | 100.0 |

## TRENDS

Table 5.1: Change in total NICS staff numbers (1987-2002)

|  | 1987 | 1993 | 1999 | 2002 | \% Change <br> $1987-2002$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Non-Industrial | 23270 | 25104 | 24202 | 26519 | $+14.0 \%$ |
| Industrial | 5776 | 4855 | 2832 | 2961 | $-48.7 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 29046 | 29959 | 27034 | 29480 | $+1.5 \%$ |

Table 5.2: Composition of the NICS at 1 January 1987, 1 January 1999 and 1 January 2002

|  |  | 1 January 1987 |  | 1 January 1999 |  | 1 January 2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No | \% | No | \% | No | \% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \bar{\ll} \end{aligned}$ | Female | 11669 | 40.2 | 12973 | 48.0 | 14507 | 49.2 |
|  | Male | 17377 | 59.8 | 14061 | 52.0 | 14973 | 50.8 |
|  | P | 16164 | 55.6 | 14912 | 55.2 | 15928 | 54.0 |
|  | RC | 9693 | 33.4 | 10556 | 39.0 | 12517 | 42.5 |
|  | ND | 3189 | 11.0 | 1566 | 5.8 | 1035 | 3.5 |
|  | TOTAL | 29046 | 100.0 | 27034 | 100.0 | 29480 | 100.0 |
|  | Female | 11525 | 49.5 | 11525 | 53.4 | 14434 | 54.4 |
|  | Male | 11745 | 50.5 | 11745 | 46.6 | 12085 | 45.6 |
|  | P | 13526 | 58.1 | 13526 | 55.7 | 14294 | 53.9 |
|  | RC | 7849 | 33.7 | 7849 | 39.7 | 11447 | 43.2 |
|  | ND | 1895 | 8.1 | 1895 | 4.6 | 778 | 2.9 |
|  | TOTAL | 23270 | 100.0 | 23270 | 100.0 | 26519 | 100.0 |
| 픈000 | Female | 144 | 2.5 | 56 | 2.0 | 73 | 2.5 |
|  | Male | 5632 | 97.5 | 2776 | 98.0 | 2888 | 97.5 |
|  | P | 2638 | 45.7 | 1435 | 50.7 | 1634 | 55.2 |
|  | RC | 1844 | 31.9 | 942 | 33.3 | 1070 | 36.1 |
|  | ND | 1294 | 22.4 | 455 | 16.1 | 257 | 8.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 5776 | 100.0 | 2832 | 100.0 | 2961 | 100.0 |

## Appendix 5

Table 5.3: Change in Female Representation by Grade Level - Non-Industrial Service

| Grade Level | 1997 |  | 1999 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Row\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% |
| Grade 5+ | 23 | 9.5 | 25 | 10.5 | 41 | 16.1 |
| Grades 6/7 | 192 | 16.6 | 245 | 20.8 | 306 | 23.6 |
| DP | 365 | 21.6 | 410 | 23.3 | 598 | 27.9 |
| SO | 591 | 26.2 | 629 | 27.9 | 947 | 35.2 |
| EO1/2 | 3253 | 44.0 | 3267 | 45.3 | 3954 | 49.3 |
| AA\&AO | 8257 | 73.0 | 8341 | 72.2 | 8588 | 71.0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 2 6 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 4}$ |

Table 5.4: Change in Male Representation by Grade Level - Non-Industrial Service

| Grade Level | 1997 |  | 1999 |  | 2002 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Row\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% |
| Grade 5+ | 220 | 90.5 | 212 | 89.5 | 214 | 83.9 |
| Grades 6/7 | 965 | 83.4 | 935 | 79.2 | 991 | 76.4 |
| DP | 1323 | 78.4 | 1,352 | 76.7 | 1543 | 72.1 |
| SO | 1669 | 73.8 | 1,629 | 72.1 | 1742 | 64.8 |
| EO1/2 | 4134 | 56.0 | 3,952 | 54.7 | 4071 | 50.7 |
| AA\&AO | 3058 | 27.0 | 3,205 | 27.8 | 3524 | 29.1 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 1 3 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 6}$ |

Table 5.5: Change in Protestant Representation by Grade Level - Non-Industrial Service

| Grade Level | 1997 |  | 1999 |  | 2002 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Row\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% |
| Grade 5+ | 177 | $[80.8]$ | 166 | $[76.9]$ | 168 | $[72.4]$ |
| Grades 6/7 | 752 | $[75.4]$ | 752 | $[73.2]$ | 815 | $[69.5]$ |
| DP | 1128 | $[71.9]$ | 1147 | $[70.5]$ | 1325 | $[65.3]$ |
| SO | 1366 | $[63.7]$ | 1319 | $[61.8]$ | 1557 | $[59.7]$ |
| EO1/2 | 4063 | $[57.1]$ | 3948 | $[56.9]$ | 4293 | $[54.7]]$ |
| AA\&AO | 6130 | $[55.9]$ | 6145 | $[55.1]$ | 6136 | $[51.8]$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 3 6 1 6}$ | $[59.2]$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4 7 7}$ | $[58.4]$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2 9 4}$ | $[55.5]$ |

Table 5.6: Change in Roman Catholic Representation by Grade Level - Non-Industrial Service

| Grade Level | 1997 |  | 1999 |  | 2002 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Row\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% |
| Grade 5+ | 42 | $[19.2]$ | 50 | $[23.1]$ | 64 | $[27.6]$ |
| Grades 6/7 | 245 | $[24.6]$ | 275 | $[26.8]$ | 358 | $[30.5]$ |
| DP | 440 | $[28.1]$ | 481 | $[29.5]$ | 705 | $[34.7]$ |
| SO | 779 | $[36.3]$ | 814 | $[38.2]$ | 1053 | $[40.3]$ |
| EO1/2 | 3057 | $[42.9]$ | 2992 | $[43.1]$ | 3552 | $[45.3]$ |
| AA\&AO | 4828 | $[44.1]$ | 5002 | $[44.9]$ | 5715 | $[48.2]$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 3 9 1}$ | $[40.8]$ | $\mathbf{9 6 1 4}$ | $[41.6]$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 4 7}$ | $[44.5]$ |

## Industrial Service

Table 5.7: Compositional Change in the Industrial Service 1987-2002

| Year | Total | Sex |  |  |  |  | Community Background |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  | Female |  | $\mathbf{P}$ |  | RC |  |  |
|  |  | No | Row\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% | No | Row\% |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ |  | 5632 | 97.5 | 144 | 2.5 | 2638 | $[58.9]$ | 1844 | $[41.1]$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 4 6}$ | 5209 | 97.4 | 137 | 2.6 | 2428 | $[59.4]$ | 1660 | $[40.6]$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 9 5}$ | 5087 | 97.9 | 108 | 2.1 | 2528 | $[59.7]$ | 1709 | $[40.3]$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 5 5}$ | 4737 | 97.6 | 118 | 2.4 | 2436 | $[59.5]$ | 1660 | $[40.5]$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 0 0}$ | 4216 | 98.0 | 84 | 2.0 | 2179 | $[59.2]$ | 1501 | $[40.8]$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 6 0}$ | 3201 | 98.2 | 59 | 1.8 | 1629 | $[60.0]$ | 1087 | $[40.0]$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 3 2}$ | 2776 | 98.0 | 56 | 2.0 | 1435 | $[60.4]$ | 942 | $[39.6]$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 6 1}$ | 2888 | 97.5 | 73 | 2.5 | 1634 | $[60.4]$ | 1070 | $[39.6]$ |  |

Note: In tables $5.3-5.7$ community background percentages are shown in square brackets to indicate that they refer to the relative proportions of those belonging to Protestant and Roman Catholic community backgrounds only and therefore exclude those whose community background cannot be determined. The convention of using square brackets is in line with Equality Commission practice.

## RECRUITMENT

Table 6.1: Aggregated Actual and Expected* Outcomes for the 159 Permanent NonIndustrial competitions closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible | Shortlisted |  | Attended Interview | Offered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Actual | Expected |
| Female | 14353 | 8736 | 8848 | 5987 | 5083 | 5026 |
| Male | 10525 | 6869 | 6757 | 4940 | 3403 | 3460 |
| Protestant | 10968 | 7089 | 6904 | 5049 | 3787 | 3793 |
| Roman Catholic | 12958 | 7925 | 8082 | 5489 | 4509 | 4489 |
| Not Determined | 952 | 591 | 620 | 389 | 190 | 205 |
| Total | 24878 | 15605 | 15605 | 10927 | 8486 | 8486 |

Table 6.2: Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes for the 128 Industrial competitions closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible/ <br> invited to <br> intorviow | Attended <br> Interview | Considered suitable |  | Appointed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Actual | Expected |  |  |
| Female | 120 | 82 | 69 | 66 | 31 | 23 |
| Male | 1114 | 853 | 685 | 688 | 207 | 215 |
| Protestant | 645 | 487 | 385 | 386 | 130 | 128 |
| Roman Catholic | 479 | 374 | 305 | 306 | 94 | 93 |
| Not Determined | 110 | 74 | 64 | 62 | 14 | 17 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 8}$ |

Table 6.3: Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes for the 256 competitions for temporary staff which closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible/ <br> invited to |  | Attended <br> interview | Considered suitable |  | Appointed |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | interview |  |  | Expected | Actual | Expected |  |
| Female | 9071 | 6250 | 5445 | 5414 | 3089 | 3054 |  |
| Male | 6423 | 4435 | 3671 | 3702 | 1967 | 2002 |  |
| Protestant | 7421 | 5189 | 4446 | 4490 | 2642 | 2650 |  |
| Roman Catholic | 7395 | 5075 | 4354 | 4296 | 2298 | 2261 |  |
| Not Determined | 678 | 421 | 316 | 331 | 116 | 145 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 4 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 5 6}$ |  |

*Note: Expected outcomes are based on the broad assumption that each equal opportunity group is similar in merit and consequently reflects the outcome which would be expected if males and females enjoyed the same success rate, and Protestants and Roman Catholics enjoyed the same success rates.

## Appendix 6

Table 6.4: Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes for the General Service competitions closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible | Shortlisted |  | Attended Interview | Offered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Actual | Expected |
| M | 6595 | 4070 | 4020 | 2863 | 2210 | 2235 |
| F | 10404 | 6222 | 6272 | 4238 | 3798 | 3773 |
| P | 7185 | 4532 | 4341 | 3188 | 2643 | 2647 |
| RC | 9358 | 5515 | 5687 | 3757 | 3280 | 3273 |
| ND | 456 | 245 | 264 | 156 | 85 | 89 |
| TOTAL | 16999 | 10292 | 10292 | 7101 | 6008 | 6008 |

Table 6.5: Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes for the Secretarial competitions closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible | Shortlisted |  | Attended <br> Interview | Offered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Expected |  |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| F | 302 | 142 | 141 | 99 | 76 | 76 |
| P | 230 | 109 | 109 | 75 | 57 | 56 |
| RC | 64 | 27 | 29 | 22 | 17 | 18 |
| ND | 12 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |

Table 6.6: Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes for the Scientific competitions closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible | Shortlisted |  | Attended Interview | Offered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Actual | Expected |
| M | 293 | 129 | 135 | 100 | 37 | 46 |
| F | 291 | 145 | 139 | 105 | 58 | 49 |
| P | 318 | 148 | 150 | 111 | 52 | 52 |
| RC | 203 | 96 | 92 | 72 | 33 | 34 |
| ND | 63 | 30 | 32 | 22 | 10 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 584 | 274 | 274 | 205 | 95 | 95 |

Table 6.7: Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes for the Technology competitions closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible | Shortlisted |  | Attended <br> Interview | Offered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Expected |  |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | 776 | 668 | 668 | 535 | 281 | 279 |
| F | 211 | 174 | 174 | 126 | 75 | 77 |
| P | 491 | 390 | 404 | 296 | 162 | 159 |
| RC | 421 | 385 | 371 | 315 | 170 | 172 |
| ND | 75 | 67 | 66 | 50 | 24 | 24 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ |

Table 6.8: Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes for the Legal Group competitions closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible | Shortlisted |  | Attended Interview | Offered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Actual | Expected |
| M | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| F | 34 | 34 | 34 | 23 | 9 | 9 |
| P | 20 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 7 | 7 |
| RC | 23 | 23 | 23 | 16 | 6 | 6 |
| ND | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 44 | 44 | 44 | 31 | 13 | 13 |

Table 6.9: Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes for the Computing competitions closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible | Shortlisted |  | Attended <br> Interview | Offered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Expected |  |
| M | 40 | 40 | 40 | 33 | 30 | 29 |
| F | 14 | 14 | 14 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| P | 23 | 23 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 17 |
| RC | 27 | 27 | 27 | 21 | 16 | 18 |
| ND | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |

Table 6.10: Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes for the Departmental Specialism competitions closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible | Shortlisted |  | Attended Interview | Offered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Actual | Expected |
| M | 2547 | 1783 | 1760 | 1288 | 824 | 843 |
| F | 2927 | 1878 | 1901 | 1288 | 1020 | 1001 |
| P | 2413 | 1668 | 1641 | 1201 | 815 | 814 |
| RC | 2753 | 1781 | 1800 | 1237 | 967 | 959 |
| ND | 308 | 212 | 220 | 138 | 62 | 71 |
| TOTAL | 5474 | 3661 | 3661 | 2576 | 1844 | 1844 |

Table 6.11: Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes for the Centralised Services competitions closed during 1999, 2000 and 2001

|  | Eligible | Shortlisted |  | Attended <br> Interview | Offered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Expected |  |
| M | 260 | 168 | 189 | 112 | 16 | 15 |
| F | 170 | 127 | 106 | 99 | 40 | 41 |
| P | 288 | 199 | 201 | 144 | 32 | 33 |
| RC | 109 | 71 | 70 | 49 | 20 | 17 |
| ND | 33 | 25 | 24 | 18 | 4 | 6 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ |

## PROMOTION

Table 7.1: Aggregated Actual and Expected* outcomes for the 336 Non-Trawl promotion competitions closed during the period 1 January 1999 31 December 2001

|  | Eligible <br> applicants | Recommended |  | Attended <br> Interview | Listed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Actual | Expected |
| Female | 11584 | 6120 | 6551 | 5922 | 2692 | 2606 |
| Male | 10563 | 5818 | 5387 | 5611 | 1887 | 1973 |
| Protestant | 12092 | 6225 | 6363 | 6006 | 2175 | 2281 |
| Roman Catholic | 9298 | 5349 | 5200 | 5179 | 2290 | 2183 |
| Not Determined | 757 | 364 | 375 | 348 | 114 | 115 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 1 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 7 9}$ |

Table 7.2: Aggregated Actual and Expected outcomes for the 189 Trawl promotion competitions closed during the period 1 January 1999-31 December 2001

|  | Eligible <br> applicants | Recommended |  | Attended |  | Listed |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected |  | Actual | Expected |  |
| Female | 4181 | 2339 | 2349 | 2186 | 438 | 464 |  |
| Male | 2607 | 1322 | 1312 | 1189 | 307 | 281 |  |
| Protestant | 3759 | 2009 | 1997 | 1850 | 408 | 403 |  |
| Roman Catholic | 2795 | 1502 | 1519 | 1382 | 309 | 314 |  |
| Not Determined | 234 | 150 | 145 | 143 | 28 | 28 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 5}$ |  |

*Note: Expected outcomes are based on the broad assumption that each equal opportunity group is similar in merit and consequently reflects the outcome which would be expected if males and females enjoyed the same success rate, and Protestants and Roman Catholics enjoyed the same success rates.

## Appendix 7

Table 7.3: Non-Trawl Boards closed during 1999-2001 (Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes by Grade Level)

|  |  | Eligible | Recommended |  | Attend Interview | Listed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected | Actual |  | Expected |
| Grade 5+ | F |  | 74 | 48 | 47 | 45 | 4 | 4 |
|  | M | 192 | 138 | 139 | 134 | 11 | 11 |
|  | P | 170 | 124 | 124 | 121 | 7 | 10 |
|  | RC | 68 | 42 | 41 | 39 | 6 | 3 |
|  | ND | 28 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Total | 266 | 186 | 186 | 179 | 15 | 15 |
| Grade 6 | F | 7 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
|  | M | 28 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 4 | 6 |
|  | P | 24 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 6 |
|  | RC | 10 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 2 |
|  | ND | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 35 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 8 | 8 |
| Grade 7 | F | 432 | 341 | 342 | 280 | 72 | 54 |
|  | M | 1339 | 860 | 859 | 775 | 138 | 156 |
|  | P | 1083 | 731 | 727 | 655 | 114 | 127 |
|  | RC | 563 | 401 | 409 | 334 | 81 | 64 |
|  | ND | 125 | 69 | 65 | 66 | 15 | 19 |
|  | Total | 1771 | 1201 | 1201 | 1055 | 210 | 210 |
| DP | F | 659 | 391 | 427 | 382 | 127 | 105 |
|  | M | 1714 | 967 | 931 | 950 | 225 | 247 |
|  | P | 1396 | 798 | 796 | 782 | 175 | 202 |
|  | RC | 878 | 509 | 507 | 499 | 160 | 135 |
|  | ND | 99 | 51 | 55 | 51 | 17 | 14 |
|  | Total | 2373 | 1358 | 1358 | 1332 | 352 | 352 |
| SO | F | 1086 | 525 | 585 | 515 | 242 | 209 |
|  | M | 2800 | 1040 | 981 | 1009 | 276 | 309 |
|  | P | 2256 | 854 | 885 | 827 | 271 | 279 |
|  | RC | 1464 | 643 | 624 | 632 | 235 | 223 |
|  | ND | 166 | 68 | 57 | 65 | 12 | 16 |
|  | Total | 3886 | 1565 | 1566 | 1524 | 518 | 518 |
| E01 | F | 1984 | 996 | 1125 | 977 | 381 | 358 |
|  | M | 1209 | 816 | 687 | 804 | 314 | 337 |
|  | P | 1682 | 950 | 961 | 937 | 348 | 362 |
|  | RC | 1419 | 820 | 802 | 804 | 332 | 317 |
|  | ND | 92 | 42 | 48 | 40 | 15 | 16 |
|  | Total | 3193 | 1812 | 1812 | 1781 | 695 | 695 |
| EO2 | F | 4569 | 1964 | 2136 | 1921 | 837 | 846 |
|  | M | 2109 | 1187 | 1015 | 1154 | 497 | 488 |
|  | P | 3568 | 1532 | 1585 | 1489 | 634 | 640 |
|  | RC | 2978 | 1569 | 1511 | 1539 | 676 | 676 |
|  | ND | 132 | 50 | 56 | 47 | 24 | 18 |
|  | Total | 6678 | 3151 | 3151 | 3075 | 1334 | 1334 |
| AO | F | 2773 | 1848 | 1885 | 1795 | 1025 | 1027 |
|  | M | 1172 | 789 | 752 | 764 | 422 | 420 |
|  | P | 1913 | 1216 | 1265 | 1175 | 620 | 654 |
|  | RC | 1918 | 1357 | 1299 | 1324 | 798 | 762 |
|  | ND | 114 | 64 | 72 | 60 | 29 | 31 |
|  | Total | 3945 | 2637 | 2637 | 2559 | 1447 | 1447 |

Expected figures may not sum due to rounding

## APPENDIX 7

Table 7.4: Non-Trawl Boards closed during 1999-2001 (Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes by Occupational Group)

|  |  | Eligible | Recommended |  | Attend Interview | Listed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected | Actual |  | Expected |
| General Service | F |  | 10156 | 5724 | 6133 | 5556 | 2536 | 2484 |
|  | M | 5832 | 4163 | 3754 | 4028 | 1483 | 1535 |
|  | P | 8258 | 5045 | 5150 | 4891 | 1878 | 1968 |
|  | RC | 7324 | 4601 | 4478 | 4463 | 2055 | 1970 |
|  | ND | 406 | 241 | 260 | 230 | 86 | 81 |
|  | Total | 15988 | 9887 | 9887 | 9584 | 4019 | 4019 |
| Secretarial | F | 555 | 35 | 35 | 32 | 4 | 4 |
|  | M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | P | 481 | 26 | 27 | 23 | 1 | 3 |
|  | RC | 64 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 1 |
|  | ND | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 555 | 35 | 35 | 32 | 4 | 4 |
| Scientific | F | 344 | 132 | 143 | 112 | 28 | 21 |
|  | M | 432 | 200 | 189 | 171 | 30 | 37 |
|  | P | 470 | 188 | 202 | 159 | 32 | 34 |
|  | RC | 268 | 125 | 115 | 109 | 23 | 21 |
|  | ND | 38 | 19 | 15 | 15 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Total | 776 | 332 | 332 | 283 | 58 | 58 |
| Technology | F | 211 | 53 | 57 | 53 | 31 | 21 |
|  | M | 3198 | 871 | 867 | 837 | 145 | 155 |
|  | P | 2143 | 560 | 577 | 534 | 103 | 103 |
|  | RC | 1086 | 315 | 300 | 307 | 67 | 66 |
|  | ND | 180 | 49 | 47 | 49 | 6 | 8 |
|  | Total | 3409 | 924 | 924 | 890 | 176 | 176 |
| Legal | F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | RC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Computing | F | 82 | 78 | 79 | 75 | 56 | 44 |
|  | M | 246 | 239 | 238 | 238 | 120 | 132 |
|  | P | 179 | 173 | 173 | 172 | 89 | 96 |
|  | RC | 139 | 134 | 134 | 132 | 82 | 75 |
|  | ND | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 5 |
|  | Total | 328 | 317 | 317 | 313 | 176 | 176 |
| Departmental Specialisms |  | 236 | 98 | 103 | 94 | 37 | 31 |
|  | M | 855 | 345 | 340 | 337 | 109 | 115 |
|  | P | 561 | 233 | 233 | 227 | 72 | 78 |
|  | RC | 417 | 165 | 167 | 159 | 60 | 51 |
|  | ND | 113 | 45 | 43 | 45 | 14 | 18 |
|  | Total | 1091 | 443 | 443 | 431 | 146 | 146 |
| Centralised Services | F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | RC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Expected figures may not sum due to rounding

## Appendix 7

Table 7.5: Trawl Boards closed during 1999-2001 (Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes by Grade Level)

|  |  | Eligible | Recommended |  | Attend Interview | Listed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected | Actual |  | Expected |
| Grade 5+ | F |  | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
|  | M | 47 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 5 | 5 |
|  | P | 34 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 5 | 4 |
|  | RC | 19 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 2 |
|  | ND | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 55 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 6 | 6 |
| Grade 6 | F | 46 | 26 | 30 | 25 | 3 | 3 |
|  | M | 90 | 64 | 61 | 63 | 7 | 7 |
|  | P | 86 | 56 | 55 | 55 | 7 | 6 |
|  | R | 27 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 1 | 1 |
|  | ND | 23 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Total | 136 | 90 | 90 | 88 | 10 | 10 |
| Grade 7 | F | 56 | 41 | 37 | 34 | 7 | 6 |
|  | M | 273 | 188 | 192 | 178 | 28 | 29 |
|  | P | 199 | 144 | 144 | 136 | 22 | 23 |
|  | RC | 108 | 72 | 71 | 64 | 10 | 9 |
|  | ND | 22 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 3 | 2 |
|  | Total | 329 | 229 | 229 | 212 | 35 | 35 |
| DP | F | 206 | 163 | 150 | 152 | 29 | 24 |
|  | M | 655 | 454 | 467 | 435 | 56 | 61 |
|  | P | 516 | 372 | 374 | 352 | 54 | 53 |
|  | RC | 319 | 225 | 224 | 216 | 25 | 29 |
|  | ND | 26 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 6 | 3 |
|  | Total | 861 | 617 | 617 | 587 | 85 | 85 |
| SO | F | 525 | 339 | 327 | 310 | 82 | 67 |
|  | M | 1066 | 671 | 683 | 631 | 99 | 114 |
|  | P | 790 | 497 | 502 | 462 | 94 | 86 |
|  | RC | 747 | 473 | 474 | 440 | 82 | 89 |
|  | ND | 54 | 40 | 35 | 39 | 5 | 6 |
|  | Total | 1591 | 1010 | 1010 | 941 | 181 | 181 |
| E01 | F | 874 | 407 | 404 | 371 | 94 | 89 |
|  | M | 986 | 474 | 477 | 431 | 98 | 103 |
|  | P | 1014 | 440 | 442 | 397 | 84 | 91 |
|  | RC | 784 | 406 | 402 | 373 | 102 | 94 |
|  | ND | 62 | 35 | 37 | 32 | 6 | 7 |
|  | Total | 1860 | 881 | 881 | 802 | 192 | 192 |
| EO2 | F | 851 | 310 | 327 | 263 | 85 | 85 |
|  | M | 946 | 359 | 342 | 324 | 126 | 126 |
|  | P | 1024 | 392 | 377 | 343 | 125 | 122 |
|  | RC | 742 | 265 | 281 | 233 | 82 | 84 |
|  | ND | 31 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 4 | 4 |
|  | Total | 1797 | 669 | 669 | 587 | 211 | 211 |
| AO | F | 41 | 30 | 33 | 29 | 6 | 6 |
|  | M | 118 | 91 | 88 | 86 | 19 | 19 |
|  | P | 96 | 79 | 75 | 77 | 17 | 17 |
|  | RC | 49 | 31 | 36 | 27 | 6 | 5 |
|  | ND | 14 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Total | 159 | 121 | 121 | 115 | 25 | 25 |

[^1]Table 7.6: Trawl Boards closed during 1999-2001 (Aggregated Actual and Expected Outcomes by Occupational Group)

|  |  | Eligible | Recommended |  | Attend Interview | Listed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Actual | Expected | Actual |  | Expected |
| General Service | F |  | 2021 | 1081 | 1067 | 986 | 240 | 222 |
|  | M | 2821 | 1607 | 1621 | 1512 | 278 | 296 |
|  | P | 2666 | 1433 | 1439 | 1331 | 270 | 269 |
|  | RC | 2032 | 1169 | 1161 | 1086 | 232 | 234 |
|  | ND | 144 | 86 | 88 | 81 | 16 | 14 |
|  | Total | 4842 | 2688 | 2688 | 2498 | 518 | 518 |
| Secretarial | F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | RC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scientific | F | 86 | 68 | 60 | 67 | 12 | 10 |
|  | M | 185 | 105 | 113 | 99 | 12 | 14 |
|  | P | 170 | 115 | 111 | 109 | 19 | 15 |
|  | RC | 88 | 48 | 54 | 47 | 3 | 7 |
|  | ND | 13 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Total | 271 | 173 | 173 | 166 | 24 | 24 |
| Technology | F | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
|  | M | 321 | 239 | 240 | 227 | 30 | 32 |
|  | P | 186 | 143 | 143 | 138 | 20 | 19 |
|  | RC | 109 | 77 | 76 | 71 | 10 | 10 |
|  | ND | 34 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Total | 329 | 246 | 246 | 233 | 33 | 33 |
| Legal | F | 43 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 4 | 3 |
|  | M | 29 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 2 |
|  | P | 43 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 3 | 3 |
|  | RC | 24 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
|  | ND | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Total | 72 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 5 | 5 |
| Computing | F | 388 | 104 | 112 | 71 | 37 | 34 |
|  | M | 618 | 219 | 211 | 186 | 81 | 84 |
|  | P | 532 | 168 | 161 | 127 | 63 | 65 |
|  | RC | 457 | 148 | 156 | 123 | 53 | 50 |
|  | ND | 17 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Total | 1006 | 323 | 323 | 257 | 118 | 118 |
| Departmental Specialisms | F | 13 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 3 | 3 |
|  | M | 60 | 46 | 45 | 46 | 14 | 14 |
|  | P | 45 | 37 | 36 | 37 | 13 | 11 |
|  | RC | 26 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 4 | 6 |
|  | ND | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Total | 73 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 17 | 17 |
| Centralised Services | F | 48 | 34 | 38 | 32 | 8 | 7 |
|  | M | 147 | 111 | 107 | 105 | 22 | 23 |
|  | P | 117 | 95 | 90 | 92 | 20 | 20 |
|  | RC | 59 | 34 | 42 | 29 | 7 | 6 |
|  | ND | 19 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Total | 195 | 145 | 145 | 137 | 30 | 30 |

Expected figures may not sum due to rounding

## Appendix 8

## STAFF WHO DECLARED A DISABILITY

Table 8.1: NICS (Non-Industrial and Industrial) staff who declared a disability.

|  | No who completed the <br> questionnaire | No who declared a <br> disability | No who declared a <br> disability as a \% of <br> those who responded <br> to the questionnaire |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Industrial staff | 21187 | 1034 | 4.9 |
| Industrial staff | 1362 | 118 | 8.7 |
| TOTAL | 22549 | 1152 | 5.1 |

Table 8.2: NICS (Non-Industrial and Industrial) staff who declared a disability by Occupational Group

| Occupational group | Total Staff |  | Sex |  |  |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female |  | Male |  | P |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Col \% | No | Col \% | No | Col \% | No | Col \% | No | Col \% |
| General Service | 735 | 63.8 | 390 | 83.2 | 345 | 50.5 | 364 | 58.6 | 350 | 73.2 | 21 | 39.6 |
| Secretarial | 30 | 2.6 | 29 | 6.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 18 | 2.9 | 8 | 1.7 | 4 | 7.5 |
| Scientific | 34 | 3.0 | 8 | 1.7 | 26 | 3.8 | 22 | 3.5 | 9 | 1.9 | 3 | 5.7 |
| Technology | 59 | 5.1 | 4 | 0.9 | 55 | 8.1 | 45 | 7.2 | 12 | 2.5 | 2 | 3.8 |
| Legal | 13 | 1.1 | 3 | 0.6 | 10 | 1.5 | 11 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.4 |  | 0.0 |
| Computing | 20 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.4 | 18 | 2.6 | 12 | 1.9 | 7 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.9 |
| Specialisms | 93 | 8.1 | 19 | 4.1 | 74 | 10.8 | 59 | 9.5 | 28 | 5.9 | 6 | 11.3 |
| Centralised Services | 50 | 4.3 | 13 | 2.8 | 37 | 5.4 | 32 | 5.2 | 12 | 2.5 | 6 | 11.3 |
| Industrial Supervisory | 17 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 2.5 | 8 | 1.3 | 8 | 1.7 | 1 | 1.9 |
| Industrial Craft | 8 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 1.2 | 7 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Industrial Non Craft | 93 | 8.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 92 | 13.5 | 43 | 6.9 | 41 | 8.6 | 9 | 17.0 |
| TOTAL | 1152 | 100.0 | 469 | 100.0 | 683 | 100.0 | 621 | 100.0 | 478 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 |

## Appendix 8

Table 8.3: NICS (Non-Industrial and Industrial) staff who declared a disability by Department/Agency

| Department/ Agency | Staff who declared a disability |  | Sex |  |  |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female |  | Male |  | P |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | \% of total staff | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% |
| DARD | 153 | 4.9 | 52 | 34.0 | 101 | 66.0 | 83 | 54.2 | 65 | 42.5 | 5 | 3.3 |
| DCAL | 19 | 5.9 | 4 | 21.1 | 15 | 78.9 | 15 | 78.9 | 4 | 21.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| DE | 31 | 6.0 | 16 | 51.6 | 15 | 48.4 | 18 | 58.1 | 11 | 35.5 | 2 | 6.5 |
| DETI | 39 | 3.6 | 11 | 28.2 | 28 | 71.8 | 22 | 56.4 | 11 | 28.2 | 6 | 15.4 |
| DFP | 95 | 4.3 | 31 | 32.6 | 64 | 67.4 | 62 | 65.3 | 28 | 29.5 | 5 | 5.3 |
| OFMDFM | 9 | 2.8 | 6 | 66.7 | 3 | 33.3 | 6 | 66.7 | 2 | 22.2 | 1 | 11.1 |
| DEL | 79 | 6.1 | 43 | 54.4 | 36 | 45.6 | 39 | 49.4 | 37 | 46.8 | 3 | 3.8 |
| DHSSPS | 29 | 3.4 | 10 | 34.5 | 19 | 65.5 | 21 | 72.4 | 7 | 24.1 | 1 | 3.4 |
| DOE | 66 | 4.7 | 25 | 37.9 | 41 | 62.1 | 43 | 65.2 | 20 | 30.3 | 3 | 4.5 |
| DRD | 188 | 5.6 | 42 | 22.3 | 146 | 77.7 | 107 | 56.9 | 67 | 35.6 | 14 | 7.4 |
| DSD (Core) | 22 | 5.2 | 14 | 63.6 | 8 | 36.4 | 9 | 40.9 | 12 | 54.5 | 1 | 4.5 |
| SSA | 283 | 5.9 | 157 | 55.5 | 126 | 44.5 | 105 | 37.1 | 170 | 60.1 | 8 | 2.8 |
| CSA | 69 | 4.9 | 30 | 43.5 | 39 | 56.5 | 37 | 53.6 | 31 | 44.9 | 1 | 1.4 |
| NIA | 7 | 5.5 | 2 | 28.6 | 5 | 71.4 | 5 | 71.4 | 2 | 28.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| NIO | 61 | 4.7 | 25 | 41.0 | 36 | 59.0 | 48 | 78.7 | 11 | 18.0 | 2 | 3.3 |
| PSNI | 2 | 6.1 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 50.0 |
| TOTAL | 1152 | 5.1 | 469 | 40.7 | 683 | 59.3 | 621 | 53.9 | 478 | 41.5 | 53 | 4.6 |

Table 8.4: NICS (Non-Industrial and Industrial) staff who declared a disability by Work Pattern

| Work pattern | Staff who declared a disability |  | Sex |  |  |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female |  | Male |  | P |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Col \% | No | Col \% | No | Col \% | No | Col \% | No | Col \% |
| Full-time | 1035 | 89.8 | 365 | 77.8 | 670 | 98.1 | 558 | 89.9 | 428 | 89.5 | 49 | 92.5 |
| Part-time | 117 | 10.2 | 104 | 22.2 | 13 | 1.9 | 63 | 10.1 | 50 | 10.5 | 4 | 7.5 |
| TOTAL | 1152 | 100.0 | 469 | 100.0 | 683 | 100.0 | 621 | 100.0 | 478 | 100.0 | 53 | 100.0 |

## Appendix 9

## STAFF FROM ETHNIC MINORITY BACKGROUNDS

Table 9.1: NICS Non-Industrial and Industrial staff by Ethnic Background and Sex

|  |  | Total | White | Minority Ethnic Backgrounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\otimes}{\otimes} \\ & . \stackrel{E}{ً} \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
|  | Female |  | 14434 | 14426 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
|  | Male | 12085 | 12061 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 24 |
|  | Total | 26519 | 26487 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 13 | 32 |
|  | Female | 73 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Male | 2888 | 2888 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 2961 | 2961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL |  | 29480 | 29448 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 13 | 32 |

Table 9.2: NICS staff by Ethnic Background and Department.

| Department | Total staff in Dept. | White | Minority Ethnic Backgrounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |  | ¢ $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ |  |
| DARD | 3706 | 3698 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| DCAL | 377 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DE | 584 | 583 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| DETI | 1209 | 1206 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| DFP | 2681 | 2677 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| OFMDFM | 352 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DEL | 1455 | 1455 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DHSSPS | 931 | 929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| DOE | 1739 | 1736 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| DRD | 4899 | 4896 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| DSD (Core) | 474 | 474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SSA | 5798 | 5796 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| CSA | 1606 | 1603 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| NIA | 175 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NIO | 1437 | 1436 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| PSNI | 2057 | 2056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 29480 | 29448 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 13 | 32 |

## SENIOR CIVIL SERVICE

Table 10.1: Senior Civil Service (SCS) staff by Sex and Community Background

| Sex | Total SCS Staff |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{P}$ |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% |
| Female | 41 | 16.3 | 20 | 48.8 | 14 | 34.1 | 7 | 17.1 |
| Male | 210 | 83.7 | 144 | 68.6 | 50 | 23.8 | 16 | 7.6 |
| TOTAL | 251 | 100.0 | 164 | 65.3 | 64 | 25.5 | 23 | 9.2 |

Table 10.2: Senior Civil Service (SCS) by Occupational Group and Community Background

| Occupational Group | Total SCS Staff |  | Community Background |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | P |  | RC |  | ND |  |
|  | No | Col \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% | No | Row \% |
| General Service | 167 | 66.5 | 110 | 65.9 | 47 | 28.1 | 10 | 6.0 |
| Scientific | 4 | 1.6 | 2 | 50.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 1 | 25.0 |
| Technology | 9 | 3.6 | 7 | 77.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 22.2 |
| Legal | 25 | 10.0 | 17 | 68.0 | 7 | 28.0 | 1 | 4.0 |
| Specialisms | 46 | 18.3 | 28 | 60.9 | 9 | 19.6 | 9 | 19.6 |
| TOTAL | 251 | 100.0 | 164 | 65.3 | 64 | 25.5 | 23 | 9.2 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The statistical information, in the tables throughout this report, includes those who work in the eleven Northern Ireland Departments and those seconded from the NICS to the Northern Ireland Office (NIO), the Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI) and the Northern Ireland Assembly (NIA). The report does not include direct recruits of the NIA or the PSNI. Nor does it include Home Civil Servants working in the Northern Ireland Office or Prison Grades in the Northern Ireland Prison Service.

[^1]:    Expected figures may not sum due to rounding

